

[16:03] == DarkoAnicic [~DarkoAnicic@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:03] <DarkoAnicic> present+ DarkoAnicic

[16:03] <DanhLePhuoc> present+ DanhLePhuoc

[16:04] == mlefranc [~mlefranc@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:07] == Aparna [~Aparna@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:07] == Aparna_ [~Aparna@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:08] <DarkoAnicic> scribenick: Aparna

[16:10] <Aparna_> Darko: two points in today's agenda

[16:10] <Aparna_> Darko: Please announce new topics

[16:13] <Aparna_> Darko: Kaz is the host but he cannot attend today's meeting

[16:13] <Aparna_> Darko: Darko cannot share his screen as he is not the host

[16:13] == achille_zappa [~achille_zappa@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:14] == uday [~uday@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:14] <Aparna_> Victor: uploads the presentation slides on W3C WoT wiki

[16:16] == Victor [~Victor@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:16] <Victor> <https://www.w3.org/WoT/IG/wiki/File:Td-ssn-alignment-2017.pptx>

[16:17] <mlefranc> See mail here -> <https://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/public-wot-ig/2017Jul/0008.html>

[16:17] <Aparna_> Victor: slides about aligning ssn/sosa with TD vocabulary

[16:18] <Aparna_> Victor: proposal for an alignment to align td: Thing with Feature of Interest

[16:19] <mlefranc> q+

[16:19] <Victor> <https://www.w3.org/WoT/IG/wiki/File:Td-ssn-alignment-2017.pptx>

[16:19] <MariaPoveda> q+

[16:20] == mjkoester [~mjkoester@public.cloak] has joined #wot

[16:20] <Victor> <https://www.w3.org/WoT/IG/wiki/File:Td-ssn-alignment-2017.pptx>

[16:20] <Aparna_> Victor: there are two alignments

[16:20] <DarkoAnicic> q?

[16:21] <Aparna_> Mlefranc: wot:Property and ssn:Property does not align very well

[16:22] <Aparna_> Mlefranc: wot:Property should better be aligned with ssn:Procedure

[16:24] <MariaPoveda> I'm looking at this schema for the td ontology

[16:24] <MariaPoveda> <https://w3c.github.io/wot-thing-description/#dataschema>

[16:24] <Aparna_> Victor: I defined td:Property as sub-class of ssn:ObservableProperty and there are no concences about this in WoT group

[16:24] <Victor> <https://www.w3.org/ns/td/>

[16:25] <Victor> <http://w3c.github.io/wot/current-practices/wot-practices.html#td-context-extension>

[16:27] <Aparna_> Mlefranc: InteractionPattern in wot ontology is aligned to ssn:Procedure, therefore td:Property should also be assinged to ssn:Procedure

[16:29] <mlefranc> q+

[16:29] <Aparna_> Victor: td:Property should be aligned to Saref:Property.

[16:32] <MariaPoveda> q+ is the plan to include a property concept in td ontology in the sense of ssn?

[16:35] <Aparna_> mlefranc: in ssn a System implements one or more procedures, in td a device implements some interaction pattern such as td:Property, td: Event or td:Action to get data about a device

[16:37] <Aparna_> Victor: there is relation between input and output data in SSN

[16:38] <DarkoAnicic> rrsagent, draft minutes

[16:38] <RRSAgent> I have made the request to generate <http://www.w3.org/2017/08/18-wot-minutes.html> DarkoAnicic

[16:39] <Aparna_> Victor: is there a relation for instance between ssn:Observation and output data

[16:40] <Aparna_> mlefranc: There no such relation in SSN. the floor is completely open for creativity there

[16:40] <mlefranc> <http://w3c.github.io/sdw/ssn-usage/>

[16:42] <mlefranc> <http://ci.emse.fr/pep/pep-1.1>

[16:42] <mlefranc> <https://w3id.org/pep/>

[16:42] <Aparna_> Victor: how inputs and outputs are used in ssn ontology

[16:44] <mlefranc> <https://w3id.org/rdfp/>

[16:45] <Aparna_> Darko: i find it important to reach some consenses on mapping between td ontology and ssn ontology

[16:46] <Aparna_> Darko: ask mlefranc to prepare some slides about td and ssn mapping

[16:46] <Aparna_> Darko: we would like to use ssn in iot.schema.org, therefore it would be nice to have mapping

[16:47] <Aparna_> Darko: discussion about the mapping could be discussed on mailing lists

[16:48] <Aparna_> mlefranc: important point missing is duplicating td:Property and aligning one to ssn:Property and one to saref:Property

[16:49] <Aparna_> Victor: I am not sure if we should create our own ssn:Property in td.

[16:49] <mjkoster> I'm not comfortable that we have reached a conclusion about what action to take

[16:49] <DanhLePhuoc> q+

[16:50] <DanhLePhuoc> q-

[16:50] <Aparna_> Victor: no need to duplicate the terms from ssn

[16:50] <DarkoAnicic> q?

[16:52] <DanhLePhuoc> q+

[16:52] <DarkoAnicic> Action: mlefranc to add his proposal on the mapping between TD-Ontology and SSN to Git: <https://github.com/danicic/wot/blob/master/linked-data/linked-data-vocabularies.md>

[16:52] * trackbot is creating a new ACTION.

[16:52] * RRSAgent records action 1

[16:52] <trackbot> Created ACTION-115 - Add his proposal on the mapping between td-ontology and ssn to git: <https://github.com/danicic/wot/blob/master/linked-data/linked-data-vocabularies.md> [on Maxime Lefrançois - due 2017-08-25].

[16:58] <Aparna_> Darko: concludes that we started the discussion on mapping with ssn and we will continue discussion

[16:59] <Aparna_> Victor: ask Maria about alignment between core onology in vicinity ontology and ssn.

[17:00] <Aparna_> Maria: ssn:Property is used in a little different way. I can explain about it. I can propose the architecture for td case as an example.

[17:01] <Aparna_> Victor: propose Maria and Maxim could present slides about alignment in the same call

[17:01] <Aparna_> Darko: asks DanhLePhuoc, if could organize the next telco

[17:02] <Aparna_> Darko: Maria and Maxim could present slides in the next meeting.

[17:03] == uday [~uday@public.cloak] has quit ["Page closed"]