

W3C Web of Things Plugfest Security Review

Michael McCool

W3C WoT WG Security and Privacy TF

Bundang, July 2018

Outline

- Who tried what
 - Intel, Panasonic, Smart Things, Siemens, EURECOM, others?
 - HTTPS (direct and via proxy), Auth (basic, digest, bearer tokens, psk)
- Issues Arising
 - Security Metadata Structure
 - Definitions, multiple levels (2? 3?)
 - Security Metadata Content
 - Schemes, scheme parameters, vocabulary
 - Interactions with Architecture
 - Proxies
- TO DO
 - Online and plugfest
 - Security testing and validation

Intel

- Auth

- HTTP Basic
- HTTP Digest

- Encryption

- HTTPS Proxy endpoint, Let's Encrypt certs
 - Running in cloud service
 - Transparent proxy (endpoint wrapper)
 - Both cloud and reverse tunneled HTTP services
- Direct HTTPS with Let's Encrypt certs
 - Running on local device; reverse tunneled out and exposed on cloud endpoint
 - Certificate valid for cloud endpoint; but TLS managed by device (end-to-end security)
- Direct HTTPS with self-signed certs
 - For local devices
 - Client must accept self-signed cert

- Secured services

- Thing Directories (cloud and gateway)
- Thing Playground (cloud)
- OCF-WoT metadata bridge (gateway)
- CoAP/HTTP bridge (gateway)
- OCF devices (via CoAP/HTTP bridge)
- Simple-webcam (native web service)

- Other notes

- Two cloud servers
- Two separate local networks behind NATs
- TDs with multiple forms (different endpoints) with different security for the same physical device
- Challenges with port 22 being blocked...

Siemens

- Auth

- HTTP basic
- JWT bearer tokens

- Encryption

- HTTPS

- Other

- HTTP proxy support:
 - Both forward proxies and reverse proxies (things only reachable through proxies)
- Used with Oracle instance
- Bearer tokens with Fujitsu beacon light

Panasonic: Implementation 1

- Bearer authentication using "bearer" security scheme
 - Indicated authorization Url...
 - But actually formed a bearer token manually
- Specified security in TD by default
- Indicated no authentication is required using "security": [] override.

At top level, used this:

```
"security": [{
  "scheme": "bearer",
  "format": "jwt",
  "alg": "ES256",
  "authorizationUrl": "..."}],
```

In forms for interactions that did not use security, used this:

```
"forms": [
  {"href": "operationStatus",
   "mediaType": "application/json"},
  {"href": "https://.../operationStatus",
   "mediaType": "application/json",
   "subProtocol": "LongPoll",
   "rel": "observeProperty",
   "security": []},
  {"href": "wss://.../operationStatus",
   "mediaType": "application/json",
   "rel": "observeProperty",
   "security": []}
]
```

Panasonic: Implementation 2

- Online WoT Server Simulator requires the following HTTP header upon request

```
X-PWOT-TOKEN: <access token>
```

However, the TD is not correct at this moment. Currently we have:

```
"security": [{  
    "cat": "token:jwt",  
    "alg": "ES256",  
    "as": "https://plugfest.thingweb.io:8443"  
}],
```

- It should be updated to the new TD specs.
- It should use "scheme": "apikey".

=> plan to update the TD of Online WoT Server Simulator by the next PlugFest.

Smart Things

- Example TDs for CoAP devices using PSK
- Raising need for CoAP-specific security metadata
- MQTT metadata can probably reuse existing vocabulary, eg "basic"
 - An assumption that needs to be tested

Eurecom

- Auth:
 - Bearer tokens
- Encryption
 - HTTPS for both Things and TD service
 - TDs encrypted and decrypted after download using pre-shared key

Issues Arising

- NAT Traversal
 - Can't depend on port 22 being open...
- Pre-shared keys
 - Is "scheme": "psk" needed?
- Self-signed certificates
 - Need to validate certificate: Onboarding service? Validation service?
 - Is "scheme": "cert" needed?
- Security definitions vs activated security configurations
- Multilevel security configurations
 - Right now can be given at three levels; top, interaction, form
 - Configurations at lower overrides higher
 - All three needed?
- "Add-on" security configurations
 - Would be nice to have way to add configs, not just overrides

Next

- Implementations/use cases for all existing schemes
- New schemes
 - Local TLS/DTLS
 - MQTT, CoAP, OCF
 - Basic auth over HTTPS, Digest over (local) HTTP
- Demonstration of at least one scheme using external vocabulary
 - And maybe move some existing schemes out to external vocabulary...