





















1. How would you describe yourself?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Web designer		39.9%	101
Web application developer		43.1%	109
User experience designer/information architect/content strategist		30.4%	77
Project manager		16.2%	41
Web technology engineer		28.5%	72
Department head		7.9%	20
Other (please specify)		15.0%	38
		answered question	253
		skipped question	3



2. What types of groups do you participate in? (select all applicable)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Working Group		36.3%	91
Interest Group		21.5%	54
Community Group		25.1%	63
Business Group		12.0%	30
Advisory Board		3.2%	8
Advisory Committee		7.6%	19
TAG		1.2%	3
None (We will ignore other selections if you choose this option.)		46.6%	117
answered question			251
skipped question			5



3. What roles (if any) do you have within a W3C group?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Chair		8.7%	22
Team contact		9.1%	23
Editor		9.8%	25
Test manager		2.8%	7
None (We will ignore other selections if you choose this option.)		79.9%	203
answered question			254
skipped question			2

4. Would you find it useful if W3C were to provide templates for group home pages (e.g., to provide a consistent user experience across groups, and to automate some WG tasks)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		92.9%	39
No		7.1%	3
answered question			42
skipped question			214



5. Do you think it would be useful to distinguish a group's "public" home page (for visitors) from its "operations" home page (which would also be public, but focus on meeting details, etc.)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		73.8%	31
No		26.2%	11
answered question			42
skipped question			214







6. If we were to separate the two pages, what information would you put on the group's "public" home page vs. its public "operations" home page?

	Response Count
	25
answered question	25
skipped question	231

7. Do you work for a W3C Member?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		20.7%	50
No		79.3%	191
answered question			241
skipped question			15



8. How often do you visit the Member home page?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Daily		0.0%	0
Weekly		12.5%	5
Monthly		22.5%	9
A few times a year		40.0%	16
I have never visited the Member home page.		7.5%	3
I have never visited the Member home page and didn't even know there was one!		15.0%	6
Other (please specify)		2.5%	1
answered question			40
skipped question			216








9. What features or improvements would you most like to see on a Member home page?

	Response Count
	14
answered question	14
skipped question	242

10. Should the Member home page become a customized “dashboard” with information or links specific to you, such as: identity (name, affiliation), lists of group membership with your organization, etc.?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		74.2%	23
No		25.8%	8
	answered question		31
	skipped question		225

11. What sections of the Member site do you most use?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Calendar of Member Events		58.6%	17
Member Actions, News, Mail, Discussion		55.2%	16
Process, Patent Policy, Finances Guide		31.0%	9
Member Standards Promotion		10.3%	3
Membership Administrivia		24.1%	7
List of AC Reps		20.7%	6
Staff FTE tables (summarized by activity)		6.9%	2
answered question			29
skipped question			227





12. What information have you had difficulty finding on the Member site?

	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	240

13. What features or improvements would you most like to see in the Member site (other than to the Member home page) in the future?

	Response Count
	10
answered question	10
skipped question	246







14. Because much information useful to Members exists on the public site, please indicate how important it is to you to access that information directly from the Member site?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Essential		16.1%	5
Important		25.8%	8
Somewhat Useful		38.7%	12
Not useful		19.4%	6
	answered question		31
	skipped question		225








15. Which links to the public site are most important for you to find from the Member site?

	Response Count
	9
answered question	9
skipped question	247

16. How often do you visit the W3C home page?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Daily		7.1%	14
Weekly		32.1%	63
Monthly		24.0%	47
A few times a year		32.7%	64
I have never visited the W3C home page		2.0%	4
Other (please specify)		2.0%	4
answered question			196
skipped question			60

17. What features/information do you use on the current home page?

		Response Percent	Response Count
News		49.2%	88
W3C blog		39.1%	70
Talks and Events		18.4%	33
Links to jobs		4.5%	8
Links to validators		52.0%	93
Links to standards and other materials		74.3%	133
Member testimonial		1.7%	3
answered question			179
skipped question			77

18. What features or improvements would you most like to see on the public home page?

	Response Count
	56
answered question	56
skipped question	200

19. When considering adjustments to the style for W3C Standards and Drafts (e.g. CSS Media Queries), please rate the following in terms of importance to you:





	Essential	Important	Somewhat Useful	Not Useful	Rating Average	Rating Count
Better Typography	34.1% (47)	29.0% (40)	28.3% (39)	8.7% (12)	2.12	138
Narrower column width	18.8% (26)	23.2% (32)	34.1% (47)	23.9% (33)	2.63	138
Less status information up front	10.5% (14)	27.8% (37)	47.4% (63)	14.3% (19)	2.65	133
Clearer indication when document has been superseded	37.0% (51)	44.2% (61)	13.8% (19)	5.1% (7)	1.87	138
Clearer indication of document maturity (on the recommendation track)	33.1% (46)	44.6% (62)	17.3% (24)	5.0% (7)	1.94	139
Integration with related materials (tests, developer documentation, etc.)	32.4% (45)	43.2% (60)	20.9% (29)	3.6% (5)	1.96	139
Tools for making it easier to review and comment on a specification	29.7% (41)	36.2% (50)	29.0% (40)	5.1% (7)	2.09	138
Other	21.2% (7)	18.2% (6)	15.2% (5)	45.5% (15)	2.85	33

Please specify other feature of importance.







19

answered question	141
skipped question	115

20. Which filter options on the Standards and Drafts index do you use most frequently?

		Response Percent	Response Count
All		41.7%	43
Standards only		54.4%	56
Drafts only		14.6%	15
Review opportunities		3.9%	4
answered question			103
skipped question			153

21. Which sorting options on the Standards and Drafts index do you use most frequently?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Technology		59.8%	55
Status		32.6%	30
Date		28.3%	26
Title		20.7%	19
Working/Interest Group		23.9%	22
Editor		2.2%	2
answered question			92
skipped question			164

22. Do you have any recommendations for the Standards and Drafts index?

	Response Count
	23
answered question	23
skipped question	233

















23. Are the “current status” pages useful (e.g. CSS Current Status)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		47.5%	67
No		6.4%	9
I didn't know they existed.		46.1%	65
	answered question		141
	skipped question		115

24. Are the labels for groups of reports on the Standards and Drafts index useful?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		36.5%	50
No		10.9%	15
I didn't know they existed.		52.6%	72
	answered question		137
	skipped question		119



25. Why do you visit the W3C site? Check all that apply.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Find W3C specifications		91.6%	131
Find out whether something is a Recommendation		51.0%	73
Find how well a specification is implemented in browsers and other software		32.9%	47
HTML elements and attributes		72.0%	103
CSS properties and values		69.2%	99
Accessibility Guidelines		42.7%	61
Working Group News		28.7%	41
Overview of current W3C work relevant to a given industry (e.g., automotive, digital publishing)		13.3%	19
How to participate in W3C		11.9%	17
How to become a W3C Member		10.5%	15
List of W3C Members		18.2%	26
Learn about the Web		23.1%	33
W3C validator		62.9%	90
Test suites		22.4%	32
Tutorials		25.9%	37
Other (please specify)		7.0%	10
answered question			143
skipped question			113

26. What features or improvements would you most like to see in the future on the public site (other than on the public home page)?

	Response Count
	31
answered question	31
skipped question	225

27. Is the site map useful to you?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		34.1%	45
No		65.9%	87
	answered question		132
	skipped question		124

28. What do you find useful about the site map?

	Response Count
	20
answered question	20
skipped question	236

29. What information have you had difficulty finding on the W3C site?

	Response Count
	41
answered question	41
skipped question	215

30. Please rate the following features of the W3C website:

	Excellent	Pretty Good	Okay	Poor	Rating Average	Rating Count
Usefulness of Search function	8.5% (10)	33.1% (39)	41.5% (49)	16.9% (20)	2.67	118
Ease of navigation	4.8% (6)	21.4% (27)	39.7% (50)	34.1% (43)	3.03	126
Organization of information	4.8% (6)	29.4% (37)	37.3% (47)	28.6% (36)	2.90	126
Value of content to you	43.3% (55)	42.5% (54)	11.0% (14)	3.1% (4)	1.74	127
					answered question	127
					skipped question	129

Page 2, Q1. How would you describe yourself?

1	Accessibility specialist	May 28, 2013 10:40 AM
2	Student	May 25, 2013 2:20 AM
3	communication advisor	May 24, 2013 6:49 AM
4	product manager	May 24, 2013 2:40 AM
5	standards advocate	May 23, 2013 8:54 PM
6	web policy	May 23, 2013 5:05 PM
7	Software Architect	May 23, 2013 4:32 PM
8	Standards geek	May 23, 2013 4:29 PM
9	front end developer	May 23, 2013 4:15 PM
10	front-end developer	May 23, 2013 3:30 PM
11	Accessibility standards expert	May 21, 2013 9:12 AM
12	an accountant clerk	May 21, 2013 4:49 AM
13	teacher of Web development	May 20, 2013 8:31 AM
14	University Proffessor (teaching HCI and accessibility)	May 20, 2013 4:41 AM
15	architecture	May 18, 2013 3:30 PM
16	Design Director	May 17, 2013 2:58 PM
17	Typographer	May 16, 2013 4:50 PM
18	Researcher	May 16, 2013 3:33 PM
19	Front-end developer	May 16, 2013 8:49 AM
20	standardista	May 16, 2013 6:54 AM
21	web accessibility expert	May 16, 2013 3:34 AM
22	front-end web developer	May 16, 2013 3:23 AM
23	Educator	May 16, 2013 1:55 AM
24	CEO	May 15, 2013 11:33 PM
25	web accessibility specialist	May 15, 2013 11:00 PM
26	Front-end developer	May 15, 2013 9:38 PM
27	marketing and sales.	May 15, 2013 8:06 PM

Page 2, Q1. How would you describe yourself?

28	trainer	May 15, 2013 5:47 PM
29	W3C staff	May 15, 2013 4:33 PM
30	Web standards and accessibility advisor	May 15, 2013 3:25 PM
31	Mathematician	May 15, 2013 2:25 PM
32	Researcher	May 15, 2013 2:20 PM
33	lawyer, deanonymized	May 15, 2013 1:41 PM
34	Microsoft Open Technologies	May 15, 2013 1:37 PM
35	Amateur	May 15, 2013 1:18 PM
36	Accessibility Consultant	May 15, 2013 12:43 PM
37	Lecturer, teaching web technologies to students	May 15, 2013 11:58 AM
38	JavaScript Developer	May 15, 2013 11:51 AM

Page 4, Q6. If we were to separate the two pages, what information would you put on the group's "public" home page vs. its public "operations" home page?

1	see WGs that have a public and a member-only page	May 24, 2013 1:27 PM
2	Public would show the goals of the group, the members, and the most recent drafts agreed upon. Operations would contain information about planned and past meetings and current discussion topics.	May 24, 2013 10:37 AM
3	Public: - group goals and charter - group mailing list and public tools - group public deliverables Operations - telco/meeting info - internal documents and drafts - meeting notes	May 24, 2013 2:42 AM
4	Public - charter, recent deliverables, current deliverables being actively worked on, contacts, general 'about' stuff Operations - the week-to-week stuff including longer term plans, current drafts/wikis/etc	May 23, 2013 5:08 PM
5	Links to version control resources,	May 23, 2013 4:33 PM
6	What specs are available. What's the timeline. What's the charter	May 23, 2013 4:30 PM
7	"Public" page: Goals of the group, current status, members, external links (related tutorials, blog posts, etc.)	May 22, 2013 9:55 PM
8	public link to public specification and to information related to public operations meeting agenda, teleconf (if not public), charter, deadlines, etc, current drafts	May 22, 2013 4:54 AM
9	operations: internal communication channels, F2F details, issue tracker, etc. public: everything else	May 22, 2013 4:14 AM
10	public relations issues, it will also be private to an extent only pertaining to it's group of people knowledgeable to one skill.	May 18, 2013 3:42 PM
11	Outline and links	May 18, 2013 6:36 AM
12	public: very basic information about the group (name, why it exists, what it does) operations: current projects, membership	May 17, 2013 6:13 AM
13	public: brief description of what the group does, news, announcements, links to specs and other resources, esp educational, milestone overview operations: work in progress, charter, meetings, archives, participants, how to join, patent policy Note that I would rather see 'public' pages as an aggregation of all WGs in an Activity - not one per WG!	May 16, 2013 6:58 AM
14	This is a dumb question. There is no reason groups should have only two pages. history of drafts, minutes, details of upcoming meetings, future of work, all these things are important. So there	May 16, 2013 2:08 AM
15	more examples and complex section videos	May 15, 2013 11:39 PM
16	What's the group for, what are its deliverables, what has it delivered, current work status, links to work output vs Meeting logistics, Good Standing status etc.	May 15, 2013 10:14 PM
17	on the public page you should include some basic information about the group alongside bigger group events, whilst the more nitty gritty stuff would be on the operations page.	May 15, 2013 8:59 PM

Page 4, Q6. If we were to separate the two pages, what information would you put on the group's "public" home page vs. its public "operations" home page?

18	On the public "operations" home page: administrative information, logistics information, process and guidebook links, etc. On the "public" homepage without "operations" parts: how to join de group, current work, work habits (how often group meets, where to find minutes, etc.), etc.	May 15, 2013 4:37 PM
19	(quick thoughts -- not going over all the ones I maintain -- this is Sandro) I'm split about whether there should be a split. I do think people in the WG need info that would be noise to the public, but ideally it can be there, somewhat hidden, available for anyone who wants it -- in the WG or not. public-facing: public side of the document roadmap: what drafts the public should review past/expected versions of those drafts easy links to issues/comments weekly news (which is probably just decisions scheduled for the next meeting and made at the last one -- unless the chair is willing to write something) charter membership - who is in the group how to get involved group-facing: more detailed version of document roadmap: include action items who needs to review what by when comment tracking recent and upcoming meetings links to relevant pages & tools, eg ReSpec if the group uses ReSpec links to internal discussion documents	May 15, 2013 3:53 PM
20	Home page: summary of group charter and purpose, date established, end date, summaries of main deliverables and status, links to meeting minutes, actual charter, actual deliverables, main contacts and chairs. Operations: Account of meeting schedule and other logistics,	May 15, 2013 3:36 PM
21	Public: news, specs, feedback, short blurb, contact people (team and chair) Operations: everything else	May 15, 2013 2:38 PM
22	Public: overview, how to get involved for newbies, Operations: minutes, mailing lists, meeting times, Both (via db/includes?):currently active documents and drafts	May 15, 2013 1:43 PM
23	The public home page would be easy to consume - general info. The operations page would involve much more technical and detailed information.	May 15, 2013 1:43 PM
24	They are already separate today.	May 15, 2013 1:33 PM
25	First of all, in response to the yes / no questions previously asked, it is only useful to provide templates if they meet the needs of the WG. If they don't leave room for critical information or have feature problems, I can't use them. Public: mission of the group, links to TR and editors' drafts, participants list, how to join Operations: how to join (again), meeting information (telecon and FtF), minutes links, infrastructure pointers (wiki, tracker, WBS URL, etc.)	May 15, 2013 12:20 PM

Page 6, Q8. How often do you visit the Member home page?

1	I have apparently visited the Member homepage but didn't recall it	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM
---	--------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------

Page 6, Q9. What features or improvements would you most like to see on a Member home page?

1	It looks a staged home page at the moment with many links outwards where I wish to have a feed of updated information straight in the home page with facets to jump to some specific parts (process, etc.)	May 27, 2013 1:02 AM
2	Perhaps making the lay-out more similar to the external homepage: latest news/headlines, agenda etc. in one blink of an eye. More pictures. A link to the Offices.	May 24, 2013 7:10 AM
3	As a new AC rep, the main thing I wanted was the joining a group procedure, it took a while to work out that was under "Process, patent..." Re: question 7, I'm leaning towards yes, but it isn't a big deal to me (yet).	May 24, 2013 1:34 AM
4	Member information My WG status	May 23, 2013 4:45 PM
5	How to find WGs and specs easier	May 23, 2013 4:33 PM
6	More clarity in the design. Less cruft. More focus.	May 22, 2013 4:16 AM
7	As I haven't used it, I have no features or improvements to suggest.	May 20, 2013 4:44 AM
8	Usability	May 17, 2013 3:15 PM
9	The member home page is a good jumping point to a number of useful services. I quite like it as it is.	May 17, 2013 12:53 AM
10	Stuff about my organisation's participation (who, what groups, how to join/leave a new group)... but MOST IMPORTANTLY integrate the Community Group stuff better, PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE.	May 16, 2013 2:13 AM
11	Activity graph of each WG/IG/BG.	May 15, 2013 8:13 PM
12	Generally, like the site. Would like a way to easily find member names, roles, company, from the main page.	May 15, 2013 3:47 PM
13	The site needs a full redesign. It's current organization is somewhat chaotic and it's normally difficult to find the information you're looking for. A full refresh of it's "look". In general, the site looks like websites used to look 15 years ago.	May 15, 2013 2:55 PM
14	some (gasp) personalization: items relevant to your WGs, such as... those described below...	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM

Page 6, Q12. What information have you had difficulty finding on the Member site?

1	I generally go to member pages directly (e.g. from an email sent to a member mailing list) and not by browsing the member site	May 27, 2013 1:02 AM
2	billing info (e.g., confirmation of receipt of dues)	May 24, 2013 11:10 AM
3	Offices. Flyers & other PR material (ready to use).	May 24, 2013 7:10 AM
4	Something that I doubt is there: a list of previously discussed topics. (See next answer to)	May 24, 2013 1:34 AM
5	Which working groups I belong to.	May 23, 2013 4:45 PM
6	Specs	May 23, 2013 4:33 PM
7	pretty much everything is hard to find unless you know exactly where it is.	May 22, 2013 4:16 AM
8	Haven't used any	May 20, 2013 4:44 AM
9	When and Which spec will be update(including progress)	May 19, 2013 5:15 PM
10	There used to be a way to see details of my member's contract and so on. The resource at http://www.w3.org/Systems/db/viewMembership now redirects to https://www.w3.org/2004/12/accounts-management/ which is also a useful page, but not the one I want.	May 17, 2013 12:53 AM
11	Almost everything I have ever looked for. It has improved, but the improvements provided some jarring readjustments.	May 16, 2013 2:13 AM
12	It took a time to find which groups I and my companies colleagues are joining.	May 15, 2013 8:13 PM
13	Members names and info from each member company.	May 15, 2013 3:47 PM
14	information about my current contract, current Member dues, billing contact.	May 15, 2013 3:40 PM
15	open issues/actions/polls	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM
16	future ac meetings - the link to ac meetings goes to a page that only talks about historical data.	May 15, 2013 1:34 PM

Page 6, Q13. What features or improvements would you most like to see in the Member site (other than to the Member home page) in the future?

1	Wall/feeds of activity/news	May 27, 2013 1:02 AM
2	Especially for newbies, a clear description of the process. It would be nice to be able to lightly track activity in working groups that one is not a member of, something like rss feeds showing milestones, titles of email threads with links to read more.	May 24, 2013 11:10 AM
3	Clearer navigation. A logout option/button.	May 24, 2013 7:10 AM
4	On the AC email list there is a torrent of email about topics with a long history and (possibly) entrenched positions. It would be great to have a list of 'issue' papers that summarise the topics discussed on the AC list, a bit like an FAQ that people could refer to.	May 24, 2013 1:34 AM
5	Nice information architecture	May 23, 2013 4:45 PM
6	I think that the possibility of a customized "dashboard" (question 7) would be very useful.	May 20, 2013 4:44 AM
7	Make requesting an account for someone, and adding them to a group, a less confusing experience.	May 16, 2013 2:13 AM
8	n/a	May 15, 2013 3:47 PM
9	A real membership viewer: current one has not worked for a good while.	May 15, 2013 3:40 PM
10	"do my work for me"	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM

Page 6, Q15. Which links to the public site are most important for you to find from the Member site?

1	link to landing pages for groups I'm a member of	May 24, 2013 11:10 AM
2	News, events	May 24, 2013 7:10 AM
3	I'm not sure yet, as a newbie I struggle to know which information would be public or not, so good linking to the public site is important, don't assume I know where it is!	May 24, 2013 1:34 AM
4	.	May 23, 2013 4:45 PM
5	validators, software, link to all standards.	May 23, 2013 4:37 PM
6	As a member, I don't make a distinction between the member site and the public site. For me, all of this is the same, with slightly annoying password requests from time to time. I do not know when I'm on the member site and when I'm not.	May 22, 2013 4:16 AM
7	Access to drafts, minutes... associated with my activities.	May 20, 2013 4:44 AM
8	I don't care where I find them from. With a fair idea of what is public, I just use Yandex to find public resources, so I only look for member resources from the member site :(May 16, 2013 2:13 AM
9	mailing lists, WG homepages, WG join information	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM

Page 7, Q16. How often do you visit the W3C home page?

1	Never visited the home page, visited certain specs through doing google searches though.	May 16, 2013 1:00 AM
2	Unclear whether you refer to the start page only or pages below it. If the latter, weekly to daily (mainly to answer to surveys).	May 15, 2013 11:31 PM
3	as needed	May 15, 2013 1:35 PM
4	I visit parts of the site at least monthly, more if doing an accessibility project	May 15, 2013 1:23 PM

Page 7, Q18. What features or improvements would you most like to see on the public home page?

1	More concise	May 27, 2013 11:16 PM
2	quick links directly to the most used recommendations, information about Activities, some color/pizzazz	May 24, 2013 11:53 AM
3	Pictures. For fund raising: a big orange button on top of the homepage with 'sponsor us now!' - with a direct link to a page telling why it is important to support us, and a direct link to an iDEAL-payment web page, to make it easy to donate also a small amount of money, and a nice thank you reply e-mail after the donation.	May 24, 2013 7:10 AM
4	Clearer information structure	May 24, 2013 2:54 AM
5	make easy to find published standards and other drafts	May 24, 2013 2:44 AM
6	I tend to bypass it I'm afraid, using google to find direct pages (e.g. WCAG 2).	May 24, 2013 1:35 AM
7	The news section should have more items (recent ten items perhaps?)	May 23, 2013 8:56 PM
8	Unorganized menu system. Hard to find the information.	May 23, 2013 4:46 PM
9	Its a bit dull and unwieldy	May 23, 2013 4:33 PM
10	I find the page very nice, maybe more visible validators.	May 23, 2013 3:51 PM
11	Better design.	May 23, 2013 3:30 PM
12	Better organization. Less clutter. Clearer actionable things to do depending on the audience.	May 22, 2013 4:17 AM
13	easier access to standard documents	May 20, 2013 8:33 AM
14	None	May 20, 2013 4:44 AM
15	Progressive Disclosure. Not sure that the chronological listing of news should be the dominantn means of representing info.	May 19, 2013 5:33 AM
16	Improve readability, usability, look and feel of specs	May 19, 2013 4:45 AM
17	Responsive design would be great!	May 18, 2013 9:17 AM
18	I'm not terribly invested in the home page--it's just one more way, on top of my browser bookmarks and Google, to get me to the content I'm seeking. The better it can do that the happier I am.	May 17, 2013 7:51 AM
19	Better accessibility!	May 17, 2013 4:30 AM
20	Better, cleaner way to get to specification.	May 17, 2013 1:55 AM
21	Documentation	May 16, 2013 7:38 PM
22	I've never used the home page	May 16, 2013 2:48 PM
23	Less content and more white space	May 16, 2013 12:28 PM

Page 7, Q18. What features or improvements would you most like to see on the public home page?

24	direct links to most popular standards	May 16, 2013 9:48 AM
25	A more structured index of materials and documents.	May 16, 2013 7:19 AM
26	easier to find things - the 'standards' links are useful for exploring randomly, or for newcomers, but often a pain if you want to find something quickly without trying to guess which bucket the link sits in	May 16, 2013 7:01 AM
27	A good overview for all news/blog feeds.	May 16, 2013 6:16 AM
28	Note: What I use normally is the search field. But it is not in the item before.	May 16, 2013 4:22 AM
29	A tailored specification search would be useful. Right now, when I enter "CSS" in the Google search box at the top, I get mostly irrelevant stuff, hard to sift through. Instead I would like to see a clear, preferably short, list of relevant specifications, ordered and colored by their status (Rec ... WG Note) etc. Other, non-spec hits could be listed further below.	May 16, 2013 4:05 AM
30	Visual design, easier way to help and participate.	May 16, 2013 3:42 AM
31	More advertising of the members :) (Plus whatever the people who do use it want)	May 16, 2013 2:14 AM
32	A cleaner interface, easier to navigate to key parts of the website.	May 16, 2013 1:00 AM
33	More document translated	May 16, 2013 12:09 AM
34	standards news	May 15, 2013 11:40 PM
35	The home page itself is pretty unimportant for me.	May 15, 2013 11:31 PM
36	better search feature. Better API Declaration like Java API style.	May 15, 2013 11:10 PM
37	I guess it would be useful if the home page were a better navigational starting point for the work of the W3C	May 15, 2013 10:15 PM
38	Better design to improve usability. Quick links to spec reference.	May 15, 2013 9:36 PM
39	information on how to get involved with w3c	May 15, 2013 9:03 PM
40	an overall more updated look, maybe a little less busy	May 15, 2013 9:01 PM
41	Having quicker access to references would be nice. Maybe have a reference guide you can download or make into an app for each language.	May 15, 2013 8:57 PM
42	less stuff.	May 15, 2013 6:23 PM
43	make it easier to find relevant specifications. If I go to the home page looking for the HTML5 spec, I have to click View All (because I don't know which category is best), HTML, and scroll waaaaay down to HTML5). Do you look at your analytics and see what pages your visitors are flocking to? It seems to me that this would be one of the hottest topics right now... why aren't there quick and obvious links above the fold on the home page to the hottest topics?	May 15, 2013 5:50 PM

Page 7, Q18. What features or improvements would you most like to see on the public home page?

44	A more readable website. Especially the standards/working draft areas. Please use a reasonable measure (width) for reading.	May 15, 2013 4:29 PM
45	It makes me a little uncomfortable that the W3C uses google for an enterprise search engine.	May 15, 2013 4:28 PM
46	More linking to education materials	May 15, 2013 3:56 PM
47	Would like to discover Interest Groups easily.	May 15, 2013 3:49 PM
48	Finding specs, with a strong focus on the browser ones. Finding groups, most importantly to provide feedback.	May 15, 2013 2:39 PM
49	the groupings under "standards" seem rather random. What's the difference between "News" and "Blog" and why are they two?	May 15, 2013 1:49 PM
50	publication status/progress.	May 15, 2013 1:44 PM
51	Being powered by RichStyle framework: http://richstyle.org	May 15, 2013 1:32 PM
52	Some tutorial on Web Standard. Web Technology Usage.	May 15, 2013 1:27 PM
53	Better orientation. Better Design - also for the standards section.	May 15, 2013 12:45 PM
54	Quick references to standards, preferably with a handy index like the one for CSS 2. W3schools have great references, but sometimes you want more information and really want to read what the standards document says about, for example, a specific HTML-tag in a specific version of HTML.	May 15, 2013 12:25 PM
55	Stop hiding content with scripts that slow down my browser and make it harder to get to the content I care about. It is a real art to find content now when I'm looking for something in particular. Really a problem on the TR pages, but the organization into "buckets" that mean different things than my own mental categorizations, and not enough cross-links between them, makes it really hard to find stuff.	May 15, 2013 12:22 PM
56	A list of links to the current W3C recommendations of the most important technologies (HTML, CSS, XML, XSLT etc.), sorted by frequency of use	May 15, 2013 12:00 PM

Page 8, Q19. When considering adjustments to the style for W3C Standards and Drafts (e.g. CSS Media Queries), please rate the following in terms of importance to you:

1	A narrower width (e.g. 60% at larger resolutions) combined with a floating table of contents would be great.	May 24, 2013 1:40 AM
2	clarity of navigation, its just kilometers of text now	May 23, 2013 4:35 PM
3	"Jump to" links to example code (if applicable)	May 22, 2013 9:58 PM
4	more practical examples on each item(element,attribute,object,method,property etc.)	May 20, 2013 8:40 AM
5	Links to related / competing standards	May 18, 2013 6:38 AM
6	multiple page for documentation, rather than a long text	May 16, 2013 7:43 PM
7	Easier navigation and better organization on documents	May 16, 2013 2:51 PM
8	More white space and much clearer visibility of headings and heading levels.	May 16, 2013 7:09 AM
9	Offer a way to get a "current version" link when being on the latest document (without any date in the URL)	May 16, 2013 6:20 AM
10	Quick links to document headings (e. g. appearing upon hover).	May 16, 2013 4:06 AM
11	Mobile interface	May 15, 2013 11:37 PM
12	It's hard to say. In general W3C specifications are not intended for public consumption - i.e. they are not tutorial in intent, are they?	May 15, 2013 10:17 PM
13	That matrix is extremely leading — a statician would have your head. Sounds like you already decided what you want to work on, but they're good choices :)	May 15, 2013 9:40 PM
14	easier to extract different views of the same document.	May 15, 2013 6:27 PM
15	less circular jumping around in standards documents... I can't tell you how many times I've clicked on a link to learn more about a keyword or topic, only to be taken to the heading for the topic that I'm already looking at, or a passing reference to the same topic that again links to nowhere useful. It's like you're filling the page with links for improved SEO that doesn't actually benefit the user,	May 15, 2013 5:54 PM
16	printability	May 15, 2013 1:52 PM
17	Terminology	May 15, 2013 1:39 PM
18	Abstract begins on 2nd page, content on 4th. That's a lot. Info about versions and TOC could occupy less space, be a column, have bypassing links, etc	May 15, 2013 1:36 PM
19	Stop hiding things under expandos and different views of the page that force me to go through several steps to find a particular document.	May 15, 2013 12:23 PM

Page 8, Q22. Do you have any recommendations for the Standards and Drafts index?

1	make it easier to find. I didn't know it existed.	May 24, 2013 11:53 AM
2	search field	May 24, 2013 2:46 AM
3	I had not seen the standards/drafts index page before, I tend to use google, e.g. "HTML5" or "WCAG 2" and go straight there. Sometimes need to use the 'latest version' link when I get there. Might try it now though!	May 24, 2013 1:40 AM
4	Click-to-open sections are not really useful.	May 23, 2013 8:59 PM
5	More readability	May 23, 2013 4:47 PM
6	No clue what it is.	May 22, 2013 4:19 AM
7	No	May 20, 2013 4:46 AM
8	Do the sorting, filtering and ordering in the client side. At least make it auto-submit when changing a dropdown menu. Clicking "Show View" is tedious.	May 16, 2013 7:19 AM
9	Take up less space when with the revealed content when you click on something, and put the revealed information to the side, somehow, so that it's easy to click on other things.	May 16, 2013 7:09 AM
10	Don't break it please :)	May 16, 2013 2:15 AM
11	make it hypermedia (HATEOAS) friendly by adding a few rels here and there so we could basically write a client exploring the data autonomously.	May 15, 2013 6:27 PM
12	I didn't know the "current status" pages existed, but now that I do, I'll refer to them. They're wicked useful.	May 15, 2013 4:42 PM
13	more padding.	May 15, 2013 4:31 PM
14	I stopped using it when you redesigned it to hide all the content. Before that, I could just search for what I needed. Now I can't find anything so I just use Google and or guess /TR/ names.	May 15, 2013 3:57 PM
15	pretty good as-is.	May 15, 2013 3:51 PM
16	I almost never use it.	May 15, 2013 2:42 PM
17	add a title search and full-text search	May 15, 2013 1:52 PM
18	Show only Recs by default	May 15, 2013 1:38 PM
19	Use of color for status(es) would be a nice improvement. Adding faceted search, considering the different criteris (date, WG, status, others?).	May 15, 2013 1:36 PM
20	No	May 15, 2013 1:29 PM
21	All the standards should be visible at once, rather than collapsed after the page loads.	May 15, 2013 1:19 PM
22	I hate the above filtering and sorting options as they just slow me down.	May 15, 2013 12:23 PM

Page 8, Q22. Do you have any recommendations for the Standards and Drafts index?

23	See previous comment	May 15, 2013 12:02 PM
----	----------------------	-----------------------

Page 9, Q25. Why do you visit the W3C site? Check all that apply.

1	"Find how well a specification is implemented in browsers and other software" I wish	May 18, 2013 6:39 AM
2	Update my account password.	May 16, 2013 4:10 AM
3	administrative stuff, to look up things in specs	May 16, 2013 2:17 AM
4	Usually I visit the specifications via Google, if I want to look something up	May 15, 2013 10:20 PM
5	w3schools, if that's official, haven't checked	May 15, 2013 9:02 PM
6	more narrative about what is happening at W3C in the WG lifes on the blog, more quick tips of technology on the blog, etc.	May 15, 2013 6:30 PM
7	Review Editor drafts, see issues lists, see who is in a WG, see minutes,	May 15, 2013 3:59 PM
8	mailing lists, community groups	May 15, 2013 2:43 PM
9	to find the process document and patent policy	May 15, 2013 1:54 PM
10	team page :)	May 15, 2013 1:40 PM

Page 9, Q26. What features or improvements would you most like to see in the future on the public site (other than on the public home page)?

1	Possibility for individual donations and/or maybe a W3C gadgets shop to support the good work made more visible.	May 24, 2013 7:12 AM
2	document archive unified system for minutes	May 24, 2013 2:47 AM
3	When a new WG/IG/BG is created or proposed and if it's open to public, it should be informed on the W3 site somewhere (I exclude CGs as it's so easy to create thus the section will be noisy).	May 23, 2013 9:02 PM
4	Organized menu. Easy navigation. Consistent page layout	May 23, 2013 4:49 PM
5	Stepping away from the walls of text that no one can really grasp. Easier navigation through documents, split into subpages in stead of scrolling through thousands of lines	May 23, 2013 4:40 PM
6	Easier navigation.	May 23, 2013 3:34 PM
7	Consistency of design across the different properties, e.g. front page, specification pages, members' pages, community/business group pages.	May 22, 2013 9:59 PM
8	Better site map. More up to date content.	May 22, 2013 4:20 AM
9	None	May 20, 2013 4:47 AM
10	Just keep making it easy to find on Google.	May 17, 2013 7:54 AM
11	Documents as courses. A platform of e-learning	May 16, 2013 7:45 PM
12	- Better navigation and organization for documents, so that information relevant to web developers and browser developers are separated - Links to collaborate with the documents	May 16, 2013 2:53 PM
13	Though the site isn't doing it yet, the survey shows that you're considering having abstracted documentation for HTML/DOM/CSS features (e.g. individual easy-to-use pages for css properties, DOM javascript methods etc.). Those are currently well-handled by MDN and others and there is currently a big movement going on in centralising/merging all these to WebPlatform.org. I'd highly recommend W3.org either continue to not do this, or do so in very-tight partnership and collaboration with WebPlatform to avoid duplication of efforts.	May 16, 2013 7:23 AM
14	Examples & templates	May 16, 2013 4:16 AM
15	Some sections, like [1], are still empty with embarrassing boilerplate text. I even wrote some text [2] ages ago, but nothing changed. This is just a minor pet peeve though. [1] http://www.w3.org/standards/webarch/identifiers [2] http://lists.w3.org/Archives/Public/site-comments/2011Oct/0007.html	May 16, 2013 4:10 AM
16	better organisation of the site architecture itself. Without breaking old links.	May 16, 2013 2:17 AM
17	A better designed tool for surveys, issues, public comments (the fields to propose answers to issues and just contribute to discussion are not terribly clear). A good part of that system however is timeliness of responses which I guess is down to human resources available.	May 15, 2013 11:36 PM

Page 9, Q26. What features or improvements would you most like to see in the future on the public site (other than on the public home page)?

18	In general it seems the site is inward looking (it explains things in terms of how the W3C happens to be organised) vs outward looking (what might possible visitors be interested in)	May 15, 2013 10:20 PM
19	Revise the drafts/spec sections to be as useful as sites like html doctor/ dochub.	May 15, 2013 9:40 PM
20	a live code editor like the one on squarefree would be nice	May 15, 2013 9:02 PM
21	I never had any idea you guys had any of the above besides the ones I checked. I used it more for a reference. Make people make a profile and/ or give them a visual walk through of all you have to offer. I hate reading by the way.	May 15, 2013 9:01 PM
22	* Better mailing list archive system * Better blog, responsive with more information for developers.	May 15, 2013 6:30 PM
23	easier to find what i'm looking for.	May 15, 2013 5:55 PM
24	Visually I wish that the WAI subsite integrated better with the W3 home page	May 15, 2013 4:35 PM
25	A good view of all the specs at all maturity levels, clearly showing how stable and deployed they are.	May 15, 2013 3:59 PM
26	A more attractive blog (the improvements proposed for the specs, or even the current spec design, is much more readable than the current blog)	May 15, 2013 1:54 PM
27	Consistency! I always feel like every part of W3C site has its own spirit.	May 15, 2013 1:45 PM
28	I was going to talk about the CSS validator (the HTML one is fine), but it seems it has (finally!) been improved. No options to ignore things like zoom:1 or proprietary -webkit- stuff but it seems way better than a few months, at first glance. Maybe I'll use it again :)	May 15, 2013 1:43 PM
29	It's a mess today - we didn't have the resources to implement the last redesign completely. So, better navigation & consistency.	May 15, 2013 1:40 PM
30	Blog Contribution.	May 15, 2013 1:29 PM
31	Remove the scripts that enforce a certain view of how I should interact with the site, and make better use of CSS features that allow flexible layout and accommodate to my needs.	May 15, 2013 12:24 PM

Page 10, Q28. What do you find useful about the site map?

1	A-Z index	May 24, 2013 1:33 PM
2	Eg, where to find the Office information.	May 24, 2013 7:15 AM
3	(Had not used it before)	May 24, 2013 1:43 AM
4	That I can serach most things with browser search tool.	May 23, 2013 3:53 PM
5	easier access to any Working Group	May 20, 2013 8:44 AM
6	site navigation	May 18, 2013 6:39 AM
7	Detailed	May 16, 2013 8:53 AM
8	Quick links. However, not so good that you don't always know what's actually at the other end - sometimes spec, sometimes WG home pages, sometimes something other unexpected	May 16, 2013 7:13 AM
9	It gives a good overview and it's easy to find the section you are after quickly.	May 16, 2013 4:25 AM
10	Information, but sometimes is hard to find it	May 16, 2013 4:19 AM
11	ordered by nesting, but also alphabetically ordered linklist, like a glossary.	May 16, 2013 1:54 AM
12	a-z	May 16, 2013 12:30 AM
13	Never used it.	May 15, 2013 11:37 PM
14	faster navigation to pages i use frequently	May 15, 2013 9:03 PM
15	I can search text in it, or read through the list and find stuff.	May 15, 2013 4:45 PM
16	It makes it clear how the W3C thinks of its different arms.	May 15, 2013 4:36 PM
17	Categories	May 15, 2013 3:53 PM
18	that it exists	May 15, 2013 1:54 PM
19	ability to visualize structure/ia, ability to verify naming conventions.	May 15, 2013 1:49 PM
20	Useful to discover unknown pages/specs	May 15, 2013 12:51 PM

Page 10, Q29. What information have you had difficulty finding on the W3C site?

1	Complex	May 27, 2013 11:24 PM
2	some policies and procedures info (e.g. Invited Expert)	May 24, 2013 1:33 PM
3	HTML5 candidate recommendation "the current" HTML standard -- where do we want to steer people?	May 24, 2013 12:15 PM
4	Current members (I always use Google to find that list). Office information. Members per country.	May 24, 2013 7:15 AM
5	Finding articles written by an specific W3C Member	May 24, 2013 2:57 AM
6	Annoyingly (given my use of google) W3Schools tends to come higher for many detailed queries for things like CSS, where the W3C or the recent site from the members (that I can't remember the name of) would be better.	May 24, 2013 1:43 AM
7	Almost everything. Search doesnt help somtimes	May 23, 2013 4:50 PM
8	Simplified versions of the specs - e.g. for HTML5, what status it is, a list of valid elements and attributes, without having to read through lots of administrative introductions and words such as "conformance", "normative", etc. Specs related to the one I'm currently reading. There should be a navigation bar, sub-menu or something similar.	May 22, 2013 10:08 PM
9	From google search - trying to find up to date accessibility standards and recommendations. This is what I use the site for 90% of the time	May 22, 2013 4:37 AM
10	Pretty much everything.	May 22, 2013 4:20 AM
11	specifications	May 20, 2013 8:44 AM
12	None	May 20, 2013 4:48 AM
13	What is where... no 'index'	May 18, 2013 6:39 AM
14	different wgs differ in how much uptodate info they have	May 17, 2013 10:58 PM
15	It's difficult for me to find usage examples that explain well the purpose of a specification and how to use it. Information is highly technical and this is important, but should not be the first thing an user sees.	May 16, 2013 2:56 PM
16	diffs between HTML 5, HTML 5.1, 5.2 and so on	May 16, 2013 9:50 AM
17	The actual specifications and unit tests for these. Once you know where to look for the specifications it becomes easier but I find myself going to spec.whatwg.org instead because it is much easier to find and a better starting point. Granted, of course, over there is much less content to have to organize (only a handful of specifications, and only the latest drafts of these). And as for unit tests, I still haven't found them. I know whatwg/w3 has some kind of web-app tests domain somewhere but last time I was able to find it, it was mostly a dump of html/js files organised by username who submitted it. Not a well-maintained or complete test suite.	May 16, 2013 7:27 AM
18	Specifications	May 16, 2013 7:13 AM

Page 10, Q29. What information have you had difficulty finding on the W3C site?

19	All things.	May 16, 2013 6:15 AM
20	Finding the right sections in the CSS 3 documents.	May 16, 2013 4:25 AM
21	When you are looking for specifics answers to a concrete question.	May 16, 2013 4:19 AM
22	Specifications.	May 16, 2013 4:11 AM
23	Pretty much everything except specs and stuff I don't care about (job ads, PR announcements, ...)	May 16, 2013 2:18 AM
24	most current version of a standard, usage advisory	May 16, 2013 12:30 AM
25	Mostly using Google search to go straight to what I know exists in the site.	May 15, 2013 11:37 PM
26	It's not what I have trouble finding, it's what I come here for. Just for the dry specs/drafts.	May 15, 2013 9:41 PM
27	none	May 15, 2013 9:03 PM
28	Difficult navigation around references. I usually use the google search at the top for what I need. Should have it in the page with suggestions on what you are looking for instead of turning up numerous results.	May 15, 2013 9:02 PM
29	historical information, there is a maze of things, but they are not easy to find without prior knowledge.	May 15, 2013 6:32 PM
30	current specifications, detailed explanations of properties and especially their values in CSS, various others.	May 15, 2013 5:56 PM
31	examples	May 15, 2013 5:02 PM
32	Editors drafts, minutes, meeting status (when/where/cancelled?)	May 15, 2013 4:00 PM
33	About affiliation	May 15, 2013 3:58 PM
34	none	May 15, 2013 3:53 PM
35	Mostly documentation about how various things are done. Pretty much anything in dated space.	May 15, 2013 2:44 PM
36	WG participation, by WG or by Member	May 15, 2013 1:54 PM
37	Quick reference for standards.	May 15, 2013 1:47 PM
38	Translations of Understanding WCAG 2.0 in french did exist and I didn't know about it because the prior translated document (WCAG 2.0 REC itself) doesn't link to the newer french document. Meh.	May 15, 2013 1:46 PM
39	Almost everything. Follow your own guidelines about clarity of headlines, showing status, and defining technical language.	May 15, 2013 1:25 PM
40	How to participate, tools to accomplish certain WG tasks, information about a particular WG if I don't already know where to look.	May 15, 2013 12:25 PM

Page 10, Q29. What information have you had difficulty finding on the W3C site?

41	Finding the current recommended version of common web standards (HTML, CSS etc.)	May 15, 2013 12:03 PM
----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------