

Interoperability problems caused by specs (not implementation)

Dr. Alex. Gouaillard

w3c/ietf webRTC joint interim meeting – Washington DC -21st May, 2014

Data channel

- 25840 – channel label unique or not
- Lots of arguments, some historical (RTP)
 - Do we deprecate RTP?
 - Do we deprecate some args?
 - Field too long/large (65535 bytes)
 - Odd/Even rule
- how to know the max size of the sending buffer

STUN/TURN

- Why different stun for google and moz again?








ICE

- Trickle ICE in FF (ibanez)
- Ekr: “That's a more complicated philosophical question, since the specs are just drafts and this was kind of a point of vagueness/disagreement.”

MediaStream / GUM

- `MediaStream.stop()` ?

BTW: this is the user point of view

							
	Canary	Chrome 34	Nightly	Firefox 29	IE	Safari	Opera 20
PeerConnection API	Green	Green	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green
ORTC API	Red	Red	Red	Red	Yellow	Red	Red
getUserMedia	Green	Green	Green	Green	Yellow	Red	Green
mediaConstraints	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Red	Yellow
TURN support	Green	Green	Green	Yellow	Red	Red	Green
MediaStream API	Green	Green	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Green
WebAudio Integration	Green	Green	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green
dataChannels	Green	Green	Green	Green	Red	Red	Green
Screen Sharing	Green	Green	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Stream re-broadcasting	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Red	Red	Red
Solid interoperability	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Red	Yellow