



OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Document Overview

W3C Editor's Draft 21 April 2009

This version:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/OWL/draft/ED-owl2-overview-20090421/>

Latest editor's draft:

<http://www.w3.org/2007/OWL/draft/owl2-overview/>

Editors:

W3C OWL Working Group (see [Acknowledgements](#))

This document is also available in these non-normative formats: [PDF version](#).

[Copyright](#) © 2009 W3C® ([MIT](#), [ERCIM](#), [Keio](#)), All Rights Reserved. W3C [liability](#), [trademark](#) and [document use](#) rules apply.

Abstract

The OWL 2 Web Ontology Language, informally OWL 2, is an ontology language for the Semantic Web with formally defined meaning. OWL 2 ontologies provide classes, properties, individuals, and data values and are stored as Semantic Web documents. OWL 2 ontologies can be used along with information written in RDF, and OWL 2 ontologies themselves are primarily exchanged as RDF documents.

This document serves as an introduction to OWL 2 and the various other OWL 2 documents. It describes the various syntaxes for OWL 2, the different kinds of semantics, the defined profiles (sub-languages), and the relationship between OWL 1 and OWL 2.

Status of this Document

May Be Superseded

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications

and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the [W3C technical reports index](http://www.w3.org/TR/) at <http://www.w3.org/TR/>.

Summary of Changes

This is a new document, the purpose of which is to serve as an introduction to OWL 2 and the various other OWL 2 documents. Only relatively minor editorial changes have been made since the First Public Working Draft:

- The figure illustrating the relationship between OWL 2 Full, OWL 2 DL and the three profiles has been removed.
- The section on the relationship between OWL 2 and OWL 1 has been simplified and clarified.

Please Comment By 12 May 2009

The [OWL Working Group](#) seeks public feedback on this Working Draft. Please send your comments to public-owl-comments@w3.org ([public archive](#)). If possible, please offer specific changes to the text that would address your concern. You may also wish to check the [Wiki Version](#) of this document and see if the relevant text has already been updated.

No Endorsement

Publication as a Working Draft does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

Patents

This document was produced by a group operating under the [5 February 2004 W3C Patent Policy](#). This document is informative only. W3C maintains a [public list of any patent disclosures](#) made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains [Essential Claim\(s\)](#) must disclose the information in accordance with [section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy](#).

Table of Contents

- [1 Introduction](#)
- [2 Overview](#)

- [2.1 Ontologies](#)
- [2.2 Syntaxes](#)
- [2.3 Semantics](#)
- [2.4 Profiles](#)
- [3 Relationship to OWL 1](#)
- [4 Documentation Roadmap](#)
- [5 Acknowledgements](#)
- [6 References](#)

1 Introduction

This document provides a high-level overview of the OWL 2 Web Ontology Language and serves as a roadmap for the documents that define and describe OWL 2.

Ontologies are formalized vocabularies of terms, often covering a specific domain and shared by a community of users. They specify the definitions of terms by describing their relationships with other terms in the ontology. OWL 2 is an extension and revision of the [OWL Web Ontology Language](#) developed by the [W3C Web Ontology Working Group](#) and published in 2004 (referred to hereafter as “OWL 1”). OWL 2 is being developed (and this document was written) by a follow-on group, the [W3C OWL Working Group](#). OWL 1 and OWL 2 are designed to facilitate ontology development and sharing via the Web, with the ultimate goal of making Web content more accessible to machines.

2 Overview

Figure 1 gives an overview of the OWL 2 language, showing its main building blocks and how they relate to each other. The ellipse in the center represents the abstract notion of an ontology, which can be thought of either as an abstract structure or as an RDF graph (see [2.1 Ontologies](#)). At the top are various concrete syntaxes (see [2.2 Syntaxes](#)) that can be used to serialize and exchange ontologies. At the bottom are the two semantic specifications that define the meaning of OWL 2 ontologies (see [2.3 Semantics](#)).

Most users of OWL 2 will need only one syntax and one semantics; for them, this diagram would be much simpler, with only their one syntax at the top, their one semantics at the bottom, and rarely a need to see what's inside the ellipse in the center.




Figure 1. The Structure of OWL 2

2.1 Ontologies

The conceptual structure of OWL 2 ontologies is defined in the OWL 2 Structural Specification document [[OWL 2 Structural Specification](#)]. This document uses UML [[UML](#)] to define the structural elements available in OWL 2, explaining their roles and functionalities in abstract terms and without reference to any particular syntax. It also defines the functional-style syntax, which closely follows the structural specification and allows OWL 2 ontologies to be written in a compact form.

Any OWL 2 ontology can also be viewed as an RDF graph. The relationship between these two views is specified by the Mapping to RDF Graphs document [[OWL 2 RDF Mapping](#)], which defines a mapping from the structural form to the RDF graph form, and vice versa. The OWL 2 Quick Reference Guide [[OWL 2 Quick Guide](#)] provides a simple overview of these two views of OWL 2, laid out side by side.

2.2 Syntaxes

In practice, a concrete syntax is needed in order to store OWL 2 ontologies and to exchange them among tools and applications. The primary exchange syntax for OWL 2 is RDF/XML [[RDF Syntax](#)]; this is indeed the only syntax that *must* be

supported by all OWL 2 tools (see Section 2.1 of the OWL 2 Conformance document [[OWL 2 Conformance](#)]).

While RDF/XML provides for interoperability among OWL 2 tools, other concrete syntaxes may also be used. These include alternative RDF serializations, such as Turtle [[Turtle](#)]; an XML serialization [[OWL 2 XML](#)]; and a more "readable" syntax used in several ontology editing tools [[OWL 2 Manchester Syntax](#)]. Finally, the functional-style syntax can also be used for serialization, although its main purpose is specifying the structure of the language [[OWL 2 Structural Specification](#)].

Name of Syntax	Specification	Status	Purpose
RDF/XML	Mapping to RDF Graphs, RDF/XML	Mandatory	Interchange (can be written and read by all conformant OWL 2 software)
OWL/XML	XML Serialization	Optional	Easier to process using XML tools
Functional Syntax	Structural Specification	Optional	Easier to see the formal structure of ontologies
Manchester Syntax	Manchester Syntax	Optional	Easier to read/write DL Ontologies
Turtle	Mapping to RDF Graphs, Turtle	Optional, Not from OWL-WG	Easier to read/write RDF triples

2.3 Semantics

The OWL 2 Structural Specification document defines the abstract structure of OWL 2 ontologies, but it does not define their meaning. The Direct Semantics [[OWL 2 Direct Semantics](#)] and the RDF-Based Semantics [[OWL 2 RDF-Based Semantics](#)] provide two alternative ways of assigning meaning to OWL 2 ontologies, with a correspondence theorem providing a link between the two. These two semantics are used by reasoners and other tools to answer queries about, e.g., class consistency, subsumption and instance retrieval.

The Direct Semantics assigns meaning directly to ontology structures, resulting in a semantics compatible with the model theoretic semantics of the *SROIQ* description logic—a fragment of first order logic with useful computational properties. The advantage of this close connection is that the extensive description logic literature and implementation experience can be directly exploited by OWL 2 tools. However, some conditions must be placed on ontology structures in order to ensure that they can be translated into a *SROIQ* knowledge base, for example, transitive properties cannot be used in number restrictions (see [Section 3](#) of the OWL 2 Structural Specification document [[OWL 2 Structural Specification](#)] for a complete list of these conditions). Ontologies that satisfy these syntactic conditions are called *OWL 2 DL*.

ontologies. "OWL 2 DL" is used informally to refer to OWL 2 DL ontologies interpreted using the Direct Semantics [[OWL 2 Direct Semantics](#)].

The RDF-Based Semantics assigns meaning directly to RDF graphs and so indirectly to ontology structures via the Mapping to RDF-graphs. The RDF-Based Semantics is fully compatible with RDF Semantics [[OWL 2 RDF-Based Semantics](#)], and extends the semantic conditions defined for RDF. The RDF-Based Semantics can be applied to *any* OWL 2 Ontology, without restrictions, as any OWL 2 Ontology can be mapped to RDF. "OWL 2 Full" is used informally to refer to RDF graphs considered as OWL 2 ontologies and interpreted using the RDF-Based Semantics [[OWL 2 RDF-Based Semantics](#)].

The correspondence theorem in Section 7.3 of the RDF-Based Semantics Document [[OWL 2 RDF-Based Semantics](#)] defines a precise, close relationship between the Direct and RDF-Based Semantics. This theorem states, in essence, that given an OWL 2 DL ontology, inferences drawn using the Direct Semantics will still be valid if the ontology is mapped into an RDF graph *and* interpreted using the RDF-Based Semantics.

2.4 Profiles

OWL 2 Profiles [[OWL 2 Profiles](#)] are sub-languages (syntactic subsets) of OWL 2 that offer important advantages in particular application scenarios. Three different profiles are defined: OWL 2 EL, OWL 2 QL, and OWL 2 RL. Each profile is defined as a *syntactic restriction* of the OWL 2 Structural Specification, i.e., as a subset of the structural elements that can be used in a conforming ontology, and each is more restrictive than OWL DL. Each of the profiles trades off different aspects of OWL's expressive power in return for different computational and/or implementational benefits.

OWL 2 EL enables polynomial time algorithms for all the standard reasoning tasks; it is particularly suitable for applications where very large ontologies are needed, and where expressive power can be traded for performance guarantees. **OWL 2 QL** enables conjunctive queries to be answered using standard relational database technology; it is particularly suitable for applications where relatively lightweight ontologies are used to organize large numbers of individuals and where it is useful or necessary to access the data directly via relational queries (e.g., SQL). **OWL 2 RL** enables the implementation of polynomial time reasoning algorithms using rule-extended database technologies operating directly on RDF triples; it is particularly suitable for applications where relatively lightweight ontologies are used to organize large numbers of individuals and where it is useful or necessary to operate directly on data in the form of RDF triples.

Any OWL 2 EL, QL or RL ontology is, of course, also an OWL 2 ontology and can be interpreted using either the Direct or RDF-Based Semantics. When using OWL 2 RL, a rule-based implementation can operate directly on RDF triples and so can be applied to an arbitrary RDF graph, i.e., to any OWL 2 ontology. In this case, reasoning will always be *sound* (that is, only correct answers to queries will be

computed), but it may not be *complete* (that is, it is not guaranteed that all correct answers to queries will be computed). Theorem PR1 of the Profiles document states, however, that (in general) when the ontology is consistent with the structural definition of OWL 2 RL, a suitable rule-based implementation performing ground atomic queries will be both sound and complete.

3 Relationship to OWL 1

OWL 2 has a very similar overall structure to OWL 1. Looking at Figure 1, almost all the building blocks of OWL 2 were present in OWL 1, albeit possibly under different names.

The central role of RDF/XML, the role of other syntaxes, and the relationships between the Direct and RDF-Based semantics (i.e., the correspondence theorem) have not changed. More importantly, backwards compatibility with OWL 1 is, to all intents and purposes, complete: all OWL 1 Ontologies remain valid OWL 2 Ontologies, with identical inferences in all practical cases (see [Section 5.2](#) of OWL 2 New Features and Rationale [[OWL 2 New Features and Rationale](#)]).

OWL 2 adds new functionality with respect to OWL 1. Some of the new features are syntactic sugar (e.g., disjoint union of classes) while others offer new expressivity, including:

- keys;
- property chains;
- richer datatypes, data ranges;
- qualified cardinality restrictions;
- asymmetric, reflexive, and disjoint properties; and
- enhanced annotation capabilities

OWL 2 also defines three new profiles [[OWL 2 Profiles](#)] and a new syntax [[OWL 2 Manchester Syntax](#)]. In addition, some of the restrictions applicable to OWL DL have been relaxed; as a result, the set of RDF Graphs that can be handled by Description Logics reasoners is slightly larger in OWL 2.

All of the above is documented in detail in the OWL 2 New Features and Rationale document [[OWL 2 New Features and Rationale](#)]. The OWL 2 Quick Reference Guide [[OWL 2 Quick Guide](#)] also provides an overview of the features of OWL 2, clearly indicating those that are new.

4 Documentation Roadmap

The OWL 2 ontology language is normatively defined by five core specification documents describing its conceptual structure, primary exchange syntax (RDF/XML), two alternative semantics (Direct and RDF-Based), and conformance requirements. Three additional specification documents describe optional features

that may be supported by some implementations: the language profiles, and two alternative concrete syntaxes (XML and Manchester).

These documents are, however, all rather technical and mainly aimed at OWL 2 implementers and tool developers. Those looking for a more approachable guide to the features and usage of OWL 2 may prefer to consult one of the user documents (Primer, New Features and Rationale, and Quick Reference Guide).

Part	Type	Document
1	For Users	Document Overview . A quick overview of the OWL 2 specification that includes a description of its relationship to OWL 1. This is the starting point and primary reference point for OWL 2.
2	Core Specification	Structural Specification and Functional-Style Syntax defines the constructs of OWL 2 ontologies in terms of both their structure and a functional-style syntax, and defines OWL 2 DL ontologies in terms of global restrictions on OWL 2 ontologies.
3	Core Specification	Mapping to RDF Graphs defines a mapping of the OWL 2 constructs into RDF graphs, and thus defines the primary means of exchanging OWL 2 ontologies in the Semantic Web.
4	Core Specification	Direct Semantics defines the meaning of OWL 2 ontologies in terms of a model-theoretic semantics.
5	Core Specification	RDF-Based Semantics defines the meaning of OWL 2 ontologies via an extension of the RDF Semantics .
6	Core Specification	Conformance provides requirements for OWL 2 tools and a set of test cases to help determine conformance.
7	Specification	Profiles defines three sub-languages of OWL 2 that offer important advantages in particular applications scenarios.
8	For Users	OWL 2 Primer provides an approachable introduction to OWL 2, including orientation for those coming from other disciplines.
9	For Users	OWL 2 New Features and Rationale provides an overview of the main new features of OWL 2 and motivates their inclusion in the language.
10	For Users	OWL 2 Quick Reference Guide provides a brief guide to the constructs of OWL 2, noting the changes from OWL 1.
11	Specification	XML Serialization defines an XML syntax for exchanging OWL 2 ontologies, suitable for use with XML tools like schema-based editors and XQuery/XPath.
12	Specification	Manchester Syntax (WG Note) defines an easy-to-read, but less formal, syntax for OWL 2 that is used in some OWL 2 user interface tools and is also used in the Primer .

13	Specification	Data Range Extension: Linear Equations (WG Note) specifies an optional extension to OWL 2 which supports advanced constraints on the values of properties.
----	---------------	--

5 Acknowledgements

The starting point for the development of OWL 2 was the [OWL 1.1 member submission](#), itself a result of user and developer feedback, and in particular of information gathered during the [OWL Experiences and Directions \(OWLED\) Workshop series](#). The working group also considered [postponed issues](#) from the [WebOnt Working Group](#).

This document has been produced by the OWL Working Group (see below), and its contents reflect extensive discussions within the Working Group as a whole. The editors extend special thanks to Ivan Herman (W3C/ERCIM), Ian Horrocks (Oxford University) and Peter F. Patel-Schneider (Bell Labs Research, Alcatel-Lucent) for their thorough reviews.

The regular attendees at meetings of the OWL Working Group at the time of publication of this document were: Jie Bao (RPI), Diego Calvanese (Free University of Bozen-Bolzano), Bernardo Cuenca Grau (Oxford University), Martin Dzbor (Open University), Achille Fokoue (IBM Corporation), Christine Golbreich (Université de Versailles St-Quentin and LIRMM), Sandro Hawke (W3C/MIT), Ivan Herman (W3C/ERCIM), Rinke Hoekstra (University of Amsterdam), Ian Horrocks (Oxford University), Elisa Kendall (Sandpiper Software), Markus Krötzsch (FZI), Carsten Lutz (Universität Bremen), Deborah L. McGuinness (RPI), Boris Motik (Oxford University), Jeff Pan (University of Aberdeen), Bijan Parsia (University of Manchester), Peter F. Patel-Schneider (Bell Labs Research, Alcatel-Lucent), Alan Ruttenberg (Science Commons), Uli Sattler (University of Manchester), Michael Schneider (FZI), Mike Smith (Clark & Parsia), Evan Wallace (NIST), and Zhe Wu (Oracle Corporation). We would also like to thank past members of the working group: Jeremy Carroll, Jim Hendler, Vipul Kashyap.

6 References

[OWL 2 Conformance]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Conformance and Test Cases](#), Michael Smith, Ian Horrocks, Markus Krötzsch, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-test-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-test/>.

[OWL 2 Direct Semantics]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Direct Semantics](#), Boris Motik, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Bernardo Cuenca Grau, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-semantics-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-semantics/>.

[OWL 2 Manchester Syntax]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Manchester Syntax](#), Matthew Horridge, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, eds. W3C Working Draft, 2 December 2008,

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-manchester-syntax-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-manchester-syntax/>.

[OWL 2 New Features and Rationale]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: New Features and Rationale](#), Christine Golbreich, Evan K. Wallace, eds. W3C Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-new-features-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-new-features/>.

[OWL 2 Profiles]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Profiles](#), Boris Motik, Zhe Wu, Ian Horrocks, Bernardo Cuenca Grau, Achille Fokoue, Carsten Lutz, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-profiles-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-profiles/>.

[OWL 2 Quick Guide]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Quick Reference Guide](#), Elisa F. Kendall, Deborah L. McGuinness, Evan K. Wallace, Jie Bao, eds. W3C Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-quick-reference-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-quick-reference/>.

[OWL 2 RDF Mapping]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Mapping to RDF Graphs](#), Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Boris Motik, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-mapping-to-rdf-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-mapping-to-rdf/>.

[OWL 2 RDF-Based Semantics]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: RDF-Based Semantics](#), Michael Schneider, editor. W3C Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-rdf-based-semantics-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-rdf-based-semantics/>.

[OWL 2 Structural Specification]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: Structural Specification and Functional-Style Syntax](#), Boris Motik, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, Bijan Parsia, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-syntax-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-syntax/>.

[OWL 2 XML]

[OWL 2 Web Ontology Language: XML Serialization](#), Boris Motik, Peter Patel-Schneider, eds. W3C Last Call Working Draft, 2 December 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/WD-owl2-xml-serialization-20081202/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-xml-serialization/>.

[RDF Syntax]

[RDF/XML Syntax Specification \(Revised\)](#), Dave Beckett, editor. W3C Recommendation, 10 February 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-rdf-syntax-grammar-20040210/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-syntax-grammar>.

[Turtle]

[Turtle - Terse RDF Triple Language](#). Dave Beckett and Tim Berners-Lee, W3C Team Submission 14 January 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TeamSubmission/2008/SUBM-turtle-20080114/>. Latest version available at <http://www.w3.org/TeamSubmission/turtle/>.

[UML]

[OMG Unified Modeling Language \(OMG UML\). Infrastructure V2.1.2](#). Object Management Group, OMG Available Specification November 2007.