

# REPRESENTING USDL IN RDF

SERVICE MODULE

DR. CARLOS PEDRINACI  
(THE OPEN UNIVERSITY)

# DEPENDENCY

---

- Dependencies are modelled between items and targets and include different types
- Should be modelled as a hierarchy of Properties
  - dependsOn
    - requires, includes, enhances, mirrors, canSubstitute, canConflictWith
- e3Service identifies other relationships like

# DEPENDENCY

---

- e3Service identifies other relationships like coreEnhancing, etc.
- Good relations as well:
  - gr:addOn, gr:isSimilarTo, gr:isVariantOf, gr:isConsumableFor, gr:isAccessoryOrSparePartFor
- These relationships should be better explorer and materialised

# SERVICE

---

- Relevant external concepts are:
  - e3service:Service (equivalent)
  - msm:Service (not the same since this one is actually a description)
  - gr:ProductOrService
  - gr:ActualProductOrServiceInstance

# SERVICE

---

- Services include ServiceNature
- This could be modelled as classifications (see previous module on how to approach it)

# ABSTRACT SERVICE

---

- See concept `gr:ProductOrServiceModel`
- Abstract Services are classified as well.  
(See previous times how it was approached)

# SERVICE BUNDLE

---

- See ServiceBundle in e3Service
- Can be modelled in GoodRelations using the `gr:isAccessoryOrSparePartFor`
- Bundles have bundling constraints which would best be modelled using SPARQL (very simple ones) or RIF for more advanced ones (see WSMO-Lite approach for axioms)

# COMPOSITE SERVICE

---

- I'm not clear what the difference between ServiceBundle and CompositeService is
- Grouping constraint would again be best modelled using SPARQL (very simple ones) or RIF for more advanced ones



# SERVICE VARIANTS

---

- Waiting for the discussion
- Relevant notions in GoodRelations
- ProductOrServiceModel vs  
ActualProductOrServiceInstance
- `gr:successorOf`

# PARTS

---

- ServiceBundles and CompositeService have parts
  - <http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/BestPractices/OEP/SimplePartWhole/>
  - <http://ontologydesignpatterns.org/wiki/Submissions:PartOf>
- Typically though, it is beneficial to distinguish between directPart and indirectPart (only 1st does)

# PARTS

---

- Parts could be optional
- The optionality of parts could be done with subproperties of `partOf` (e.g., `optionalPartOf`)

# NETWORK PROVISIONED ENTITY

---

- Probably a new concept
- Reuse terms like `rdf:label`, `dc:version`,  
etc