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Provenance is Key in Open Information Systems (such as the Web)

- Provenance questions useful for information integration:
  - Who created that content (author/attribution)?
  - Was the content ever manipulated, if so by what processes/entities?
  - Who is providing that content (repository)?
  - What is the timeliness of that content?
  - Can any of the answers to these questions be verified (e.g., e-signatures)?
Broad Need for Provenance in Many Areas

- Open information systems (such as the Web)
  - Making trust judgments on what web content to trust
- Business practices
  - Manufacturing processes and providers of a given product
- Science applications
  - How new results were obtained: from assumptions to conclusions and everything in between
- News-spheres
  - Blogosphere, twittosphere
- Laws for IP and privacy protection
  - Licensing and attribution of a document/software that combines permissions and rights of text, images, etc.
  - Privacy of information as well as of its provenance
"At the toolbar (menu, whatever) associated with a document there is a button marked "Oh, yeah?". You press it when you lose that feeling of trust. It says to the Web, 'so how do I know I can trust this information?'. The software then goes directly or indirectly back to metainformation about the document, which suggests a number of reasons."

Provenance in Web Documents, Blogosphere

“The problem is - and this is true of books and every other medium - we don't know whether the information we find [on the Web] is accurate or not. We don't necessarily know what its provenance is.” – Vint Cerf

“In content, as creation becomes overabundant and as value shifts from creator to curator, it becomes all the more vital to properly cite and link to sources [...]. Good curation demands good provenance. [...] Provenance is no longer merely the nicety of artists, academics, and wine makers. It is an ethic we expect.” – Jeff Jarvis

- Illustrates the need for provenance for attribution, licensing, making trust judgments
Provenance in Open Government

“Provenance is the number one issue that we face when publishing government data in data.gov.uk” -- John Sheridan, UK National Archives, data.gov.uk

- Illustrates the need for provenance for data integration and reuse
  - Sources of data are very diverse
  - Varying quality
  - Different scope
  - Different assumptions
Provenance in Science

"We need a paradigm that makes it simple [...] to perform and publish reproducible computational research. [...] A Reproducible Research Environment (RRE) [...] provides computational tools together with the ability to automatically track the provenance of data, analyses, and results and to package them (or pointers to persistent versions of them) for redistribution."

- Jill Mesirov, Chief Informatics Officer of the MIT/Harvard Broad Institute, in Science, January 2010

Illustrates the need for provenance for reproducibility and verification of processes
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W3C Chartered a New Provenance Group in Sept’09 (Chair: Y. Gil)

- Provenance is a pressing issue in many areas for W3C
  - Linked Data and the semantic web (linkedopendata.org)
  - Open government (data.gov, data.gov.uk)
  - HCLS

- Most people do not know how to approach provenance
  - Many are asking for a standard and methodology that they can use immediately

- Existing work scattered in many areas of computer science and library sciences research
  - “The number of publications on provenance is [...] a total of 425 [...] with about half the papers published in the last two years.” – Luc Moreau
W3C Provenance Group: Original Charter

Provide **state-of-the-art understanding and develop a roadmap** for development and possible standardization

- Articulate requirements for accessing and reasoning about provenance information on an open system like the Web
  - Develop use cases
- Relate issues in provenance to Web architecture
  - Semantic Web, security, identity, etc.
- Report on state-of-the-art work on provenance
- Propose on a roadmap for provenance in the Semantic Web
  - Identify starting points for provenance representations
  - Identifying elements of a provenance architecture that would benefit from standardization
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Products of the W3C Provenance Group

- Shared working **definition** of provenance (10/10)
- Developed a set of **key dimensions** for provenance (11/09)
  - Grouped into three major categories: content, management, use
- Collected **use cases** for provenance (12/09)
  - More than 30 use cases, most were improved and curated
- Designed 3 **flagship scenarios** from the use cases (4/10)
- Developed **provenance requirements** from the scenarios (6/10)
  - User requirements: what is the purpose/use of the provenance information
  - Technical requirements: derived from the user requirements
- Created **mappings** for existing provenance vocabularies (7/10)
- **State-of-the-art** report (9/10)
  - Need standards for publishing and accessing provenance
- Provenance in **Web architecture** (11/15)
- **Roadmap and recommendations** (11/30)
  - Includes a proposed charter for a working group on provenance
Our Working Definition of Provenance

Provenance of a resource is a record that describes entities and processes involved in producing and delivering or otherwise influencing that resource.

Provenance provides a critical foundation for assessing authenticity, enabling trust, and allowing reproducibility.

Is provenance = metadata? Or = trust? Or = authentication?
- Provenance can be seen as metadata, but not all metadata is provenance
- Provenance provides a substrate for deriving different trust metrics
- Provenance records can be used to verify and authenticate among other uses

Notice:
- Provenance assertions can have their own provenance
- Inference is useful if provenance records are incomplete/erroneous
- There may be alternative accounts of provenance of the same resource
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W3C Provenance Group:
Major Dimensions of Provenance

1) Content
- Attribution - provenance as the sources or entities that were used to create a new result
  - Responsibility - knowing who endorses a particular piece of information or result
  - Origin - recorded vs reconstructed, verified vs non-verified, asserted vs inferred
- Process - provenance as the process that yielded an artifact
  - Reproducibility (e.g. workflows, mashups, text extraction)
  - Data Access (e.g. access time, accessed server, party responsible for accessed server)
- Evolution and versioning
  - Republishing (e.g. retweeting, reblogging, republishing)
  - Updates (e.g. a document with content from various sources and that changes over time)
- Justification for decisions – Includes argumentation, hypotheses, why-not questions
- Entailment - given the results to a particular query, what axioms or tuples led to those results

2) Management
- Publication - Making provenance information available (expose, distribute)
- Access - Finding and querying provenance information
- Dissemination control – Track policies specified by creator for when/how an artifact can be used
  - Access Control - incorporate access control policies to access provenance information
  - Licensing - stating what rights the object creators and users have based on provenance
  - Law enforcement (e.g. enforcing privacy policies on the use of personal information)
- Scale - how to operate with large amounts of provenance information
3) Use

- Understanding - End user consumption of provenance.
  - abstraction, multiple levels of description, summary
  - presentation, visualization

- Interoperability - combining provenance produced by multiple different systems

- Comparison - finding what's in common in the provenance of two or more entities (e.g., two experimental results)

- Accountability - the ability to check the provenance of an object with respect to some expectation
  - Verification - of a set of requirements
  - Compliance - with a set of policies

- Trust - making trust judgments based on provenance
  - Information quality - choosing among competing evidence from diverse sources (e.g., linked data use cases)
  - Incorporating reputation and reliability ratings with attribution information

- Imperfections - reasoning about provenance information that is not complete or correct
  - Incomplete provenance
  - Uncertain/probabilistic provenance
  - Erroneous provenance
  - Fraudulent provenance

- Debugging
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Case</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Result Differences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Anonymous Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Information Quality Assessment for Linked Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Timeliness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Simple Trustworthiness Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ignoring Unreliable Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Answering user queries that require semantically annotated provenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Provenance in Biomedicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Closure of Experimental Metadata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Locating Biospecimens With Sufficient Quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Using process provenance for assessing the quality of Information products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Provenance Tracking in the Blogosphere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Provenance of a Tweet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Provenance and Private Data Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Provenance of Decision Making in Emergency Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Provenance of Collections vs Objects in Cultural Heritage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Provenance at different levels in Cultural Heritage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Identifying attribution and associations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Determining Compliance with a License</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Documenting axiom formulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Evidence for public policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Evidence for engineering design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Fulfilling Contractual Obligations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Attribution for a versioned document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Provenance for Environmental Marine Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Crosswalk Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Metadata Merging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Mapping Digital Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Computer Assisted Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Handling Scientific Measurement Anomaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Human-Executed Processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Semantic disambiguation of data provider identity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Hidden Bug</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structure of the Use Cases

Owner
Chris Bizer  
(Curator: Satya Sahoo)

Provenance Dimensions
Primary: Attribution (Content), Evolution and versioning (Content)  
Secondary: Scale (Management), Law Enforcement (Management), Understanding (Use), Trust (Use), Incomplete provenance (Use)

Background and Current Practice
Within the Blogosphere, topics are discussed across blogs that refer to each other, for example on personal blogs, project weblogs, and on company blogs. The cross references are in the form of links at the bottom of a blog post, hyperlinks within a blog post, and quotation of text from other blogs. Blog posts are also aggregated and republished by services like Technorati, BlogPulse, Tailrank, and BlogScope, that track the interconnections between bloggers. Correct attribution of blogs, as they are processed, aggregated and republished on the Web, is an important requirement in the blogosphere.

Goal
Enable applications on the Web to attribute content from different sources to a specific individual or an organization. In this use case, blogs are an example of content flow between websites, and it is important to trace back republished posts to their original source.

Use Case Scenario
A website X collates Web content from multiple sites on a particular topic that is processed and aggregated for use by its customers. It is imperative for website X to present only credible and trusted content on its site to satisfy its customer, attract new business, and avoid legal issues. In the context of this blogosphere use case, a blog aggregator service or an user wants to identify the author of a blog without violating privacy laws. In some scenarios, the aggregator service or user may have only incomplete attribution information. In case the author of a blog is listed by name (first name, last name), disambiguation of an author is difficult with multiple blog authors sharing the same name and this may require use of additional user information (for example, email address) without violation of user privacy or privacy laws.

Problems and Limitations
The provenance of Web content in general and blog posts in particular are necessary to users for correct attribution and to aggregating services. Aggregating services require provenance information to not only attribute content but also offer additional services such as ranking of popular blog posts.

Technical Challenges:
Enable Trace back and correct attribution without violating user privacy and privacy laws
Disambiguating content authors with incomplete provenance information
Extend existing vocabulary for representing posts, such as SIOC, to model finer granularity provenance information.

Existing Work
The SIOC project has developed a vocabulary for representing posts. This vocabulary is often used together with FOAF (that represent information about the physical person related to a sioc:User, e.g. its name, lastname, phone, social network, etc.) and SKOS, used mainly to represent topics and taxonomy relationships between these topics.
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Three Flagship Scenarios for Provenance

1. News Aggregator
   - Theme: blog aggregator wishes to check the veracity of information published by other sources
   - Focus: web information, attribution, licensing

2. Disease Outbreak
   - Theme: government sources, scientific sources, and open web data are integrated and analyzed to create policies
   - Focus: e-Government, e-Science, linked open data
   - Issues: provenance for heterogeneous data integration, reproducibility through provenance

3. Business Contracts
   - Theme: Customer wishes to check that business delivered according to pre-defined contract
   - Focus: e-Business
   - Issues: provenance as proof, partial release of provenance records to respect IP
1) News Aggregator Scenario
News Aggregator Scenario: Provenance Management
News Aggregator Scenario: Provenance Use

---

Subscribe to Changes in Provenance

Click to Display Sources

Click to go to provenance info at the original source

Click to see how the provenance was used in the trust calculation

Common Representations for Trust based on Provenance
2) Disease Outbreak Scenario

- Data released by various sources (government, NGOs, news, blogs, etc)
- Social scientists integrate and analyze data, release new data about the spread of the disease
- Biologists analyze data as well, reuse data from social scientists, generate new results
- Analytical results are used by government to define policies to manage the dissemination of the disease
- Processes need to be repeated as new data becomes available over time
3) Business Contract Scenario

- **Expert A**
- **Bob’s Website Factory**
- **Expert B**

5. Existing website design
6. New design version 1
7. New design version 2
8. Verification of quality
9. Implemented Website
Business Contract Scenario: Provenance Management

10. BWF's Claim
   Access: Confidential
   Existing website design

11. Recorded Documentation
    Development process

12. Customer Inc.'s Claim
    Access: Immutability
    Tampering of records by BWF

13. Semantics
    Verifications of quality before implementation

14. New design
    Implemented Website

Semantics
Post-hoc sign-off after implementation
Business Contract Scenario: Provenance Use

15 Generate proof of claim

16 Generate proof of claim

17 Two independent verifications of quality

18 Poor summary

 Implemented Website

Recorded Documentation
Development process
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State of the Art Report

- Created tagged bibliography collection
- Compilation of survey articles on provenance
- Invited presentations from people outside the group and in various communities
- Mapped 10 well-known provenance vocabularies
- Analysis of 3 flagship scenarios regarding state of the art
- Created gap analysis on what is missing to address the three flagship scenarios
Provenance Spans Many Areas of Research

- **Web**
  - Tracking content dissemination on the web

- **Workflow Systems**
  - Computations leading to new data products, reproducibility
  - Reproducibility

- **Databases**
  - Query derivation, aggregations of data, streaming

- **Knowledge representation and reasoning**
  - Justification and explanation of reasoning

- **Argumentation**
  - What is taken into account to make a judgment

- **Information retrieval**
  - Question answering when documents are contradictory
Mappings of Existing Provenance Vocabularies

- Many provenance vocabularies exist
  - Originated in scientific data management, workflows, library sciences, semantic web, etc.
- Provenance group mapped ten well-known vocabularies:
  1. Open Provenance Model (OPM)
  2. Dublin Core
  3. PREMIS
  4. WOT Schema
  5. Provenance Vocabulary
  6. Provenir ontology
  7. Proof Markup Language
  8. SWAN Provenance Ontology
  9. Semantic Web Publishing Vocabulary
  10. Changeset Vocabulary
- Used OPM as a reference model for the mappings
- Used SKOS when appropriate to express mappings
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Broad Recommendations (I): Short Term (1-2 years)

1. There should be a standard way to represent at a minimum three basic provenance entities:
   - a handle (URI) to refer to an object (resource)
   - a person/entity that the object is attributed to
   - a processing step done by a person/entity to an object to create a new object

2. A provenance framework should include a mechanism to access provenance-related information addressed by other standards, such as licensing information of the object, digital signature for the object, digital signature for provenance records

3. A provenance framework should include a standard way for sites to make provenance information about their content available to other parties in a selective manner, and for others to access that provenance information

http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/prov/wiki/Final_Report_Draft
Broad Recommendations (II): Longer Term (3-5 years)

4. A provenance framework should include a standard way to express the provenance of provenance assertions, as there can be several accounts of provenance and with different granularity and that may possibly conflict.

5. A provenance framework should include a representation of provenance that is detailed enough to enable reapplying the process and reproduce it.

6. A provenance framework should allow referring to versions of objects as they evolve over time, or to temporal information statements of when the object was created, modified, or accessed. In particular it should provide for a representation of how one version (or parts thereof) was derived from another version (or parts thereof).

7. A provenance framework should include a standard way to represent a procedure which has been enacted.

8. A provenance framework should include a way to determine commonality of derivation in two resources.
Towards a Standard for Provenance

- Proposed a **Provenance Interchange Working Group**:
  - Define a provenance exchange language and protocol to publish and access provenance
  - The scope of this language will be any resource, not just semantic web objects
  - The exchange language should have a low entry point to facilitate widespread adoption, therefore it should be easy to do simple things
  - It should have a small core model and allow for extensions (i.e., species/profiles, integration of other more expressive/complementary vocabularies/frameworks)
  - Some deliverables should be released early, WG should end in 18 months or 2 years
Proposed Deliverables for the Provenance WG

- **D1. Conceptual Model (W3C Recommendation).** This document consists of a natural language description and a graphical illustration of concepts involved in the language. Such a document will help broaden the appeal of provenance beyond the community of technical experts.

- **D2. Formal Model (W3C Recommendation).** The purpose of this document is to provide a normative formalization of the conceptual model, making use of Semantic Web languages beginning with RDFS and OWL.

- **D3. Formal Semantics (W3C Note, optional).** This optional note consists of a mathematical definition of the language. It will focus on facets of formalization that have not been captured in the formal model.

- **D4. Accessing and Querying Provenance (W3C Note).** This document specifies how provenance can be accessed or queried in embedded documents and from remote services. Specifically, it defines how to access provenance embedded in an HTML document using RDFa, how to access provenance from a service by means of HTTP, and how to query provenance through a SPARQL endpoint.

- **D5. Guidelines for producing XML of the model (W3C Note).** This document specifies an XML serialization for the language.

- **D6. Best Practice Cookbook (W3C Note).** This document includes a limited set of best practice profiles that link with other relevant models, such as Dublin Core provenance related concepts, licensing in Creative Commons, and the OpenID identity mechanism for people.

- **D7. Primer (W3C Note).** This educational document provides users with an easy to understand description of the model.
## Proposed 17 Core Concepts for a Standard Provenance Interchange Language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepts</th>
<th>Concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process execution</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Derivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance Container</td>
<td>Generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts (or views)</td>
<td>Ordering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Recipe link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Collections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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