

An Application of Ontology-based Rules to Situation Awareness

Christopher J. Matheus
Versatile Information Systems, Inc.
Framingham, MA USA
cmatheus@vistology.com

Outline

- Problem Domain: Situation Awareness
- High-level Methodology
- Specific Use Cases
- Issues/Challenges with SWRL
- Rule Language ~~Requirements~~ Wish List

Our Problem Domain

- R&D focus: Formal yet Practical Applications for Situation Awareness & Information Fusion
- Situation Awareness (SAW):
 - an understanding of what's going on in an evolving situation e.g. battlefield, financial markets, crisis management
 - involves fusion of object-level data from multiple sources into meaningful higher-order relations
 - highly context dependent and goal directed
- Requirements for effective SAW apps:
 - domain knowledge about relevant objects and their properties
 - specification of conditions that define higher-order relations
 - a means for reasoning about time-dependent sensor information in the context of the given domain knowledge
 - much in common with SW goals of knowledge representation and processing but with real-time and uncertainty concerns

VIS Use Cases

- **SAWA: Situation Awareness Assistant** (*AFRL*)
 - Components:
 - Knowledge Management: ezOwl & RuleVISor
 - Runtime: Jess/BaseVISor inference/query engine
 - Domain: supply logistics
- **SIXA: Semantic Information eXchange Arch.** (*ONR*)
 - ontology-based (C2IEDM/OWL) information mediation
 - reason about track data using pedigree ont & rules
- **Situation Development Adviser** (*Army*)
 - battlefield ontology
 - doctrinal and heuristic rules of ECOA (SWRL?)

RuleVISor

RuleVISor SWRL Rule Editor

Editing Ruleset: C:\Chris\VIS\SAWA\Scenario\hasSupplyLineRules.swrlx ruleVISor SWRL Editor

has Supply Line | isSuppliable | **isSuppliable2** | underFriendlyControl | isPassable | hasSupplyStation

swrl
atomic form

Head
add (?depthPlus1, ?depth, 1(xsd:int))
hsl:isSuppliable (?region1, ?depthPlus1)

Body
hsl:connects (?road, ?region1)
hsl:connects (?road, ?region2)
notEqual (?region1(xsd:anyURI) , ?region2(xsd:anyURI))
hsl:isPassable (?road, true(xsd:boolean))
hsl:isSuppliable (?region2, ?depth)
lessThanOrEqual (?depth, 20(xsd:int))

Rule Name: isSuppliable2

Head Add C P I D B

add	term1	?depthPlus1	var	term2	?depth	var
	term3	1	lit	xsd:int		
		?X	?X	1		

Body Add C P I D B

individualProperty	hsl:connects	subject	?road	var	object	?region1	var
		domain	hsl:Road		range	hsl:Region	
individualProperty	hsl:connects	subject	?road	var	object	?region2	var
		domain	hsl:Road		range	hsl:Region	
notEqual		term1	on1	lit	xsd:anyURI	term2	on2
				lit	xsd:anyURI		lit
datavaluedProperty	hsl:isPassable	subject	?road	var	object	true	lit
		domain	hsl:Road		range	xsd:boolean	

Ontology Tree

- http://www.vistology.com
- Namespaces
- Classes
 - hsl:SupplyStation
 - hsl:EnemyForce
 - hsl:Road
 - hsl:Unit
 - hsl:Region
 - hsl_anon005
 - hsl:Force
 - hsl:FriendlyForce
- Properties
 - hsl:isSuppliable
 - hsl:inRegion
 - hsl:isPassable
 - hsl:underFriendlyControl
 - hsl:hasSupplyStation
 - hsl:memberOf
 - hsl:connects

High-Level Methodology

Working with Subject Matter Experts we:

- develop OWL ontologies for describing domain-specific object classes and object properties
- develop SWRL* rules to define relations that are grounded in observable data annotated by ontologies
- convert rules to Jess or BaseVISor rules using XSLT
- establish an input stream of events describing object observations annotated using the domain ontologies
 - all observed values annotated with units, time, certainty, and source derived from an Event ontology
- use Jess/BaseVISor engine to process event stream and detect evolution of higher-order relations

Issues/Challenges with SWRL

- Restriction to binary predicates makes many rules very difficult to construct and understand
 - e.g. `criticalPartAtFacility(?Part,?Fac,?Time,?Amt)`
 - e.g 9 rules turned into >1000 lines of SWRL code
- Declarative Semantics vs Implementation
 - SWRL built-ins
 - need functional built-ins that specify input and output terms
 - e.g., `swrlb:sum(100,?X, ?Y)` with unbound vars is infinite
 - practical solution: detect the **one** unbound var to determine the function to compute (multiple unbound vars throws error)
 - No explicit generation/assertion of new facts
 - issue with vars in head that are unbound in the body
 - need `assert()` and `gensym()`

criticalPartAtFacility(?Part,?Fac,?Tine,?Amt)

```
<swrlx:classAtom>
  <owlx:Class owlx:name="#CriticalPartAtFacility"/>
  <ruleml:var>?CPFStatement</ruleml:var>
</swrlx:classAtom>
<swrlx:individualPropertyAtom swrlx:property="#criticalPart">
  <ruleml:var>?CPFStatement</ruleml:var>
  <ruleml:var>?Part</ruleml:var>
</swrlx:individualPropertyAtom>
<swrlx:individualPropertyAtom swrlx:property="#criticalFacility">
  <ruleml:var>?CPFStatement</ruleml:var>
  <ruleml:var>?Facility</ruleml:var>
</swrlx:individualPropertyAtom>
<swrlx:datavaluedPropertyAtom swrlx:property="#criticalTime">
  <ruleml:var>?CPFStatement</ruleml:var>
  <ruleml:var>?Time</ruleml:var>
</swrlx:datavaluedPropertyAtom>
<swrlx:datavaluedPropertyAtom swrlx:property="#criticalDeficit">
  <ruleml:var>?CPFStatement</ruleml:var>
  <ruleml:var>?SurplusOrDeficitAmount</ruleml:var>
</swrlx:datavaluedPropertyAtom>
```


Issues/Challenges (continued)

- Time issues
 - usually need to make decisions from partial information
 - requires NAF (could be within scoped context ala N3)
 - need to model time-dependent attributes (e.g. position)
 - more appropriately done as a procedural attachment
 - some computed information is needed only occasional
 - time stamping
 - all data needs to be time stamped
 - asserted inference results also need to be time stamped
 - rules need to be time aware

Top Ten Rule Wish List

1. Rules definable on top of OWL ontologies
2. NAF, perhaps within a scoped context (ala N3)
3. Procedural attachments
4. Explicit representation of non-binary predicates
5. Explicit generation of new facts (assert, gensym)
6. Functionally defined built-ins
7. Graphical means to generate/understand rules
8. Means of generating simple explanations of conclusions
9. Real-time or near-real-time performance
10. Built-in support for reasoning about uncertainty