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Abstract

The current Web is primarily made up of an enormous number of documents that have been created using HTML. These documents contain significant amounts of structured data, which is largely unavailable to tools and applications. When publishers can express this data more
completely, and when tools can read it, a new world of user functionality becomes available, letting users transfer structured data between applications and web sites, and allowing browsing applications to improve the user experience: an event on a web page can be directly imported into a user’s desktop calendar; a license on a document can be detected so that users can be informed of their rights automatically; a photo’s creator, camera setting information, resolution, location and topic can be published as easily as the original photo itself, enabling structured search and sharing.

RDFa is a specification for attributes to express structured data in any markup language. This document specifies how to use RDFa with XHTML. The rendered, hypertext data of XHTML is reused by the RDFa markup, so that publishers don’t need to repeat significant data in the document content. The underlying abstract representation is RDF [RDF-PRIMER[p.84]], which lets publishers build their own vocabulary, extend others, and evolve their vocabulary with maximal interoperability over time. The expressed structure is closely tied to the data, so that rendered data can be copied and pasted along with its relevant structure.

The rules for interpreting the data are generic, so that there is no need for different rules for different formats; this allows authors and publishers of data to define their own formats without having to update software, register formats via a central authority, or worry that two formats may interfere with each other.

RDFa shares some use cases with microformats [MICROFORMATS[p.84]]. Whereas microformats specify both a syntax for embedding structured data into HTML documents and a vocabulary of specific terms for each microformat, RDFa specifies only a syntax and relies on independent specification of terms (often called vocabularies or taxonomies) by others. RDFa allows terms from multiple independently-developed vocabularies to be freely intermixed and is designed such that the language can be parsed without knowledge of the specific term vocabulary being used.

This document is a detailed syntax specification for RDFa, aimed at:

- those looking to create an RDFa parser, and who therefore need a detailed description of the parsing rules;
- those looking to recommend the use of RDFa within their organisation, and who would like to create some guidelines for their users;
- anyone familiar with RDF, and who wants to understand more about what is happening 'under the hood', when an RDFa parser runs.

For those looking for an introduction to the use of RDFa and some real-world examples, please consult the RDFa Primer.

How to Read this Document

If you are already familiar with RDFa, and you want to examine the processing rules — perhaps to create a parser — then you’ll find the Processing Model[p.23] section of most interest. It contains an overview of each of the processing steps, followed by more detailed sections, one for each rule.
If you are not familiar with RDFa, but you are familiar with RDF, then you might find reading the Syntax Overview [p.9] useful, before looking at the Processing Model [p.23] since it gives a range of examples of XHTML mark-up that use RDFa. Seeing some examples first should make reading the processing rules easier.

If you are not familiar with RDF, then you might want to take a look at the section on RDF Terminology [p.15] before trying to do too much with RDFa. Although RDFa is designed to be easy to author—and authors don’t need to understand RDF to use it—anyone writing applications that consume RDFa will need to understand RDF. There is a lot of material about RDF on the web, and a growing range of tools that support RDFa, so all we try to do in this document is provide enough background on RDF to make the goals of RDFa clearer.

And finally, if you are not familiar with either RDFa or RDF, and simply want to add RDFa to your documents, then you may find the RDFa Primer [RDFaPRIMER [p.84] ] to be a better introduction.

**Status of this Document**

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at http://www.w3.org/TR/.

This is a Proposed Recommendation produced jointly by the Semantic Web Deployment Working Group and the XHTML2 Working Group. It contains editorial changes and clarifications arising from comments received during the Candidate Recommendation review. A ‘Disposition of Comments’ report summarizes all the comments received during this review and the Working Groups’ responses.

The W3C Membership and other interested parties are invited to review this document and send comments to public-rdf-in-xhtml-tf@w3.org (with public archive) through 03 October 2008. Advisory Committee Representatives should consult their WBS questionnaires. Note that substantive technical comments were expected during the Last Call review period that ended 21 March 2008.

Please see the Working Groups’ implementation report. The report documents several server- and client-side RDFa processors as well as some initial tools that produce and consume RDFa.

Publication as a Proposed Recommendation does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

A sample test harness is available to assist in evaluating this specification.
This document was produced by groups operating under the 5 February 2004 W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the XHTML 2 group; and also maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the Semantic Web Deployment Working Group; those pages also include instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.
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1. Motivation

This section is informative.

RDF/XML [RDF-SYNTAX] [p. 84] provides sufficient flexibility to represent all of the abstract concepts in RDF [RDF-CONCEPTS] [p. 84]. However, it presents a number of challenges; first it is difficult or impossible to validate documents that contain RDF/XML using XML Schemas or DTDs, which therefore makes it difficult to import RDF/XML into other markup languages. Whilst newer schema languages such as RELAX NG [RELAXNG] [p. 85] do provide a way to validate documents that contain arbitrary RDF/XML, it will be a while before they gain wide support.

Second, even if one could add RDF/XML directly into an XML dialect like XHTML, there would be significant data duplication between the rendered data and the RDF/XML structured data. It would be far better to add RDF to a document without repeating the document’s existing data. For example, an XHTML document that explicitly renders its author’s name in the text—perhaps as a byline on a news site—should not need to repeat this name for the RDF expression of the same concept: it should be possible to supplement the existing markup in such a way that it can also be interpreted as RDF.

Another reason for aligning the rendered data with the structured data is that it is highly beneficial to express the web data’s structure ‘in context’; as users often want to transfer structured data from one application to another, sometimes to or from a non-web-based application, the user experience can be enhanced. For example, information about specific rendered data could be presented to the user via ‘right-clicks’ on an item of interest.

In the past, many attributes were ‘hard-wired’ directly into the markup language to represent specific concepts. For example, in XHTML 1.1 [XHTML11] [p. 85] and HTML [HTML4] [p. 84] there is @cite; the attribute allows an author to add information to a document which is used to indicate the origin of a quote.

However, these ‘hard-wired’ attributes make it difficult to define a generic process for extracting metadata from any document since a parser would need to know about each of the special attributes. One motivation for RDFa has been to devise a means by which documents can be augmented with metadata in a general rather than hard-wired manner. This has been achieved by creating a fixed set of attributes and parsing rules, but allowing those attributes to contain properties from any of a number of the growing range of available RDF vocabularies. The values of those properties are in most cases the information that is already in an author’s XHTML document.

RDFa alleviates the pressure on XML format authors to anticipate all the structural requirements users of their format might have, by outlining a new syntax for RDF that relies only on XML attributes. This specification deals specifically with the use of RDFa in XHTML, and defines an RDF mapping for a number of XHTML attributes, but RDFa can be easily imported into other XML-based markup languages.
1. Motivation
2. Syntax Overview

This section is informative.

The following examples are intended to help readers who are not familiar with RDFa to quickly get a sense of how it works. For a more thorough introduction, please read the RDFa Primer [RDFaPRIMER [p.84] ].

For brevity, in the following examples and throughout this document, assume that the following vocabulary prefixes have been defined:

- biblio: http://example.org/biblio/0.1
- cc: http://creativecommons.org/ns#
- dbp: http://dbpedia.org/property/
- dbr: http://dbpedia.org/resource/
- dc: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/
- ex: http://example.org/
- foaf: http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/
- rdf: http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#
- rdfs: http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#
- taxo: http://purl.org/rss/1.0/modules/taxonomy/
- xhv: http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab#
- xsd: http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#

2.1. The RDFa Attributes

RDFa in XHTML makes use of a number of XHTML attributes, as well as providing a few new ones. Attributes that already exist in XHTML will have the same meaning as in XHTML, although their syntax may be slightly modified. For example, in XHTML, @rel already defines the relationship between one document and another. However, in XHTML there is no clear way to add new values; RDFa sets out to explicitly solve this problem, and does so by allowing URIs as values. It also introduces the idea of ‘compact URIs’—referred to as CURIEs in this document—which allow a full URI value to be expressed succinctly.

The XHTML attributes that are relevant are:
The new—RDFa-specific—attributes are:

@about
  a [URI](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html) or [SafeCURIE](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html), used for stating what the data is about (a 'subject' in RDF terminology);

@property
  a whitespace separated list of [CURIE](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html)s, used for expressing relationships between a subject and some literal text (also a 'predicate');

@resource
  a [URI](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html) or [SafeCURIE](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html) for expressing the partner resource of a relationship that is not intended to be 'clickable' (also an 'object');

@datatype
  a [CURIE](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html) representing a datatype, to express the datatype of a literal;

@typeof
  a whitespace separated list of [CURIE](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html)s that indicate the RDF type(s) to associate with a subject.

For a normative definition of these attributes see the [XHTML Metainformation Attributes Module](http://www.w3.org/2001/vocab/rdf/structures/curie.html) [p.57].

2.2. Examples

As an XHTML author you will already be familiar with using `meta` and `link` to add additional information to your documents:
RDFa makes use of this concept, enhancing it with the ability to make use of other vocabularies by using compact URIs:

Although not widely used, XHTML already supports the use of @rel and @rev on the a element. This becomes more useful in RDFa with the addition of support for different vocabularies:

Not only can URLs in the document be re-used to provide metadata, but so can inline text:
If some displayed text is different to the actual 'value' it represents, more precise values can be added, which can optionally include datatypes:

```html
<html
 xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
 xmlns:cal="http://www.w3.org/2002/12/cal/ical#"
 xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
>
<head><title>Jo’s Friends and Family Blog</title></head>
<body>
<p>
I’m holding 
<span property="cal:summary">
one last summer Barbecue 
</span>,
on 
<span property="cal:dtstart" content="2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00"
 datatype="xsd:dateTime">
September 16th at 4pm 
</span>.
</p>
</body>
</html>
```

In many cases a block of mark-up will contain a number of properties that relate to the same item; it’s possible with RDFa to indicate the type of that item:

```html
<html
 xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
 xmlns:cal="http://www.w3.org/2002/12/cal/ical#"
 xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
>
<head><title>Jo’s Friends and Family Blog</title></head>
<body>
<p typeof="cal:Vevent">
I’m holding 
<span property="cal:summary">
one last summer Barbecue 
</span>,
on 
<span property="cal:dtstart" content="2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00"
 datatype="xsd:dateTime">
September 16th at 4pm 
</span>.
</p>
</body>
</html>
```

The metadata features available in XHTML only allow information to be expressed about the document itself. RDFa allows the document to contain metadata information about other documents and resources:
I think White’s book

'\n
    property="dc:title">
    Canteen Cuisine
</span,'

is well worth getting since although it’s quite advanced stuff, he
makes it pretty easy to follow. You might also like

    property="dc:description">
    White’s autobiography
</span>.
3. RDF Terminology

This section is informative.

The previous section gave examples of typical mark-up in order to illustrate what RDFa in XHTML looks like. But what RDFa in XHTML represents is RDF. In order to author RDFa in XHTML you do not need to understand RDF, although it would certainly help. However, if you are building a system that consumes the RDF output of an RDFa in XHTML document you will almost certainly need to understand RDF. In this section we introduce the basic concepts and terminology of RDF. For a more thorough explanation of RDF, please refer to the RDF Concepts document [RDF-CONCEPTS] and the RDF Syntax Document [RDF-SYNTAX].

3.1. Statements

The structured data that RDFa provides access to is a collection of statements. A statement is a basic unit of information that has been constructed in a specific format to make it easier to process. In turn, by breaking large sets of information down into a collection of statements, even very complex metadata can be processed using simple rules.

To illustrate, suppose we have the following set of facts:

Albert was born on March 14, 1879, in Germany. There is a picture of him at the web address, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Albert_Einstein_Head.jpg.

This would be quite difficult for a machine to interpret, and it is certainly not in a format that could be passed from one data application to another. However, if we convert the information to a set of statements it begins to be more manageable. The same information could therefore be represented by the following shorter 'statements':

Albert was born on March 14, 1879.
Albert was born in Germany.

3.2. Triples

To make this information machine-processable, RDF defines a structure for these statements. A statement is formally called a triple, meaning that it is made up of three components. The first is the subject of the triple, and is what we are making our statements about. In all of these examples the subject is 'Albert'.

The second part of a triple is the property of the subject that we want to define. In the examples here, the properties would be 'was born on', 'was born in', and 'has a picture at'. These are more usually called predicates in RDF.
The final part of a triple is called the object. In the examples here the three objects have the values ‘March 14, 1879’, ‘Germany’, and ‘http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Albert_Einstein_Head.jpg’.

3.3. URI references

Breaking complex information into manageable units helps us be specific about our data, but there is still some ambiguity. For example, which ‘Albert’ are we talking about? If another system has more facts about ‘Albert’, how could we know whether they are about the same person, and so add them to the list of things we know about that person? If we wanted to find people born in Germany, how could we know that the predicate ‘was born in’ has the same purpose as the predicate ‘birthplace’ that might exist in some other system? RDF solves this problem by replacing our vague terms with URI references.

URIs are most commonly used to identify web pages, but RDF makes use of them as a way to provide unique identifiers for concepts. For example, we could identify the subject of all of our statements (the first part of each triple) by using the DBPedia [http://dbpedia.org] URI for Albert Einstein, instead of the ambiguous string ‘Albert’:

```
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  has the name
  Albert Einstein.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  was born on
  March 14, 1879.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  was born in
  Germany.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  has a picture at
```

URI references are also used to uniquely identify the objects in metadata statements (the third part of each triple). The picture of Einstein is already a URI, but we could also use a URI to uniquely identify the country Germany. At the same time we’ll indicate that the name and date of birth really are literals (and not URIs), by putting quotes around them:

```
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  has the name
  "Albert Einstein".
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  was born on
  "March 14, 1879".
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  was born in
  <http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany>.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
  has a picture at
```
URI references are also used to ensure that predicates are unambiguous; now we can be sure that 'birthplace', 'place of birth', 'Lieu de naissance' and so on, all mean the same thing:

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name> "Albert Einstein".
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://dbpedia.org/property/dateOfBirth> "March 14, 1879".
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://dbpedia.org/property/birthPlace>
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany>.
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/depiction>

3.4. Plain literals

Although URI resources are always used for subjects and predicates, the object part of a triple can be either a URI or a literal. In the example triples, Einstein's name is represented by a plain literal, which means that it is a basic string with no type or language information:

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name> "Albert Einstein".

3.5. Typed literals

Some literals, such as dates and numbers, have very specific meanings, so RDF provides a mechanism for indicating the type of a literal. A typed literal is indicated by attaching a URI to the end of a plain literal, and this URI indicates the literal’s datatype. This URI is usually based on datatypes defined in the XML Schema Datatypes specification [XMLSCHEMA[p.84]]. The following syntax would be used to unambiguously express Einstein's date of birth as a literal of type http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date:

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein>
<http://dbpedia.org/property/dateOfBirth> "1879-03-14"^^<http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date>.

3.6. Turtle

RDF itself does not have one set way to express triples, since the key ideas of RDF are the triple and the use of URIs, and not any particular syntax. However, there are a number of mechanisms for expressing triples, such as RDF/XML, Turtle [TURTLE[p.85]], and of course RDFa. Many discussions of RDF make use of the Turtle syntax to explain their ideas, since it is quite compact. The examples we have just seen are already using this syntax, and we'll continue to use it throughout this document when we need to talk about the RDF that could be generated from some RDFa. Turtle allows long URIs to be abbreviated by using a URI mapping, which can be used to express a compact URI as follows:
3.7. Graphs

A collection of triples is called a graph.

For more information on the concepts described above, see [RDF-CONCEPTS][p.84]. RDFa additionally defines the following terms:

3.8. Compact URIs

In order to allow for the compact expression of RDF statements, RDFa allows the contraction of all [URI reference][p.16]s into a form called a 'compact URI', or CURIE[p.57]. A detailed discussion of this mechanism is in section [CURIE and URI Processing][p.26].

Note that CURIEs are only used in the mark-up and Turtle examples, and will never appear in the generated [triple][p.15]s, which are defined in RDF to use [URI reference][p.16]s.
Full details on how CURIEs are processed is in the section titled CURIE Processing [p.26].

3.9. XHTML Fragments and RDFa

A growing use of embedded metadata is to take fragments of mark-up and move them from one document to another. This may happen through the use of tools, such as drag-and-drop in a browser, or through snippets of code provided to authors for inclusion in their documents. (A good example of the latter is the licensing fragment provided by Creative Commons.)

However, those involved in creating fragments (either by building tools, or authoring snippets), should be aware that this specification does not say how fragments of XHTML+RDFa should be processed whilst they are ‘outside’ of a complete XHTML+RDFa document (although future versions of this or related specifications may do so).

Developers of tools that process fragments, or authors of fragments for manual inclusion, should also bear in mind what will happen to their fragment once it is included in an XHTML+RDFa document, and are advised to carefully consider the amount of ‘context’ information that will be needed in order to ensure a correct interpretation of their fragment.

3.10. A description of RDFa in RDF terms

The following is a brief description of RDFa in terms of the RDF terminology introduced here. It may be useful to readers with an RDF background:

The aim of RDFa is to allow a single RDF graph [p.19] to be carried in various types of document mark-up. However, this specification deals only with RDFa in XHTML. An RDF graph comprises [node]s linked by relationships. The basic unit of an RDF graph [p.19] is a triple [p.15], in which a subject [node] [p.19] is linked to an object [node] [p.19] via a predicate [p.19]. The subject [node] [p.19] is always either a URI reference [p.16] or a blank node (or bnode), the predicate is always a URI reference [p.16], and the object of a statement can be a URI reference [p.16], a literal [p.17], or a bnode [p.19].

In RDFa, a subject URI reference [p.16] is generally indicated using @about, and predicates are represented using one of @property, @rel, or @rev. Objects which are URI reference [p.16]s are represented using @href, @resource or @src, whilst objects that are literal [p.17]s are represented either with @content or the content of the element in question (with an optional datatype expressed using @datatype).
4. Conformance Requirements

This section is normative.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119][p.83].

Note that all examples in this document are informative, and are not meant to be interpreted as normative requirements.

4.1. Document Conformance

A strictly conforming XHTML+RDFa document is a document that requires only the facilities described as mandatory in this specification. Such a document satisfies the following criteria:

1. The document MUST conform to the constraints expressed in the schemas in Appendix A - XHTML+RDFa Document Type Definition[p.61].

2. The local part of the root element of the document MUST be html.

3. The start tag of the root element of the document MUST explicitly contain a default namespace declaration for the XHTML namespace [XMLNAMES][p.83]. The namespace URI for XHTML is defined to be http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml.

Sample root element

    <html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml" xml:lang="en">

4. There SHOULD be a @version attribute on the html element with the value "XHTML+RDFa 1.0"

Example of an XHTML+RDFa 1.0 document

    <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
    <html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
        version="XHTML+RDFa 1.0"
        xml:lang="en">
        <head>
            <title>Virtual Library</title>
        </head>
        <body>
            <p>Moved to <a href="http://example.org/">example.org</a>.</p>
        </body>
    </html>

Note that in this example, the XML declaration is included. An XML declaration like the one above is not required in all XML documents. XHTML document authors SHOULD use XML declarations in all their documents. XHTML document authors MUST use an XML declaration when the character encoding of the document is other than the default UTF-8 or UTF-16 and no
encoding is specified by a higher-level protocol.

XHTML+RDFa documents SHOULD be labeled with the Internet Media Type "application/xhtml+xml" as defined in [RFC3236][p.83]. For further information on using media types with XHTML family markup languages, see the informative note [XHTMLMIME][p.85].

### 4.2. User Agent Conformance

A conforming user agent MUST support all of the features required in this specification. A conforming user agent must also support the User Agent conformance requirements as defined in XHTML Modularization [XHTMLMOD][p.83] section on "XHTML Family User Agent Conformance".

### 4.3. RDFa Processor Conformance

A conforming RDFa Processor MUST make available to a consuming application a single [RDF graph][p.19] containing all possible triples generated by using the rules in the [Processing Model][p.23] section. This specification uses the term [default graph] to mean all of the triples asserted by a document according to the [Processing Model][p.23] section.

A conforming RDFa Processor MAY make available additional triples that have been generated using rules not described here, but these triples MUST NOT be made available in the [default graph][p.22]. (Whether these additional triples are made available in one or more additional [RDF graph][p.19]s is implementation-specific, and therefore not defined here.)

Since XHTML+RDFa is based upon XHTML Modularization [XHTMLMOD][p.83], and since XHTML Modularization requires that whitespace is preserved, conforming processors must preserve whitespace in both [plain literal][p.17]s and [XML literals][p.48]. However, it may be the case that the architecture in which a processor operates does not make all whitespace available. It is therefore advisable for authors who would like to make their documents consumable across different processors, to remove any unnecessary whitespace in their mark-up.
5. Processing Model

This section is normative.

This section looks at a generic set of processing rules for creating a set of triples that represent the structured data present in an XHTML+RDFa document. Processing need not follow the DOM traversal technique outlined here, although the effect of following some other manner of processing must be the same as if the processing outlined here were followed. The processing model is explained using the idea of DOM traversal which makes it easier to describe (particularly in relation to the evaluation context[p.30]).

Note that in this section, explanations about the processing model or guidance to implementors are enclosed in sections like this.

5.1. Overview

Parsing a document for RDFa triples is carried out by starting at the document object, and then visiting each of its child elements in turn, in document order, applying processing rules. Processing is recursive in that for each child element the processor also visits each of its child elements, and applies the same processing rules.

(Note that in some environments there will be little difference between starting at the root element of the document, and starting at the document object itself. However, we define it this way since in some environments important information is present at the document object level which is not present on the root element.)

As processing continues, rules are applied which may generate triples, and may also change the evaluation context[p.30] information that will then be used when processing descendant elements.

Note that we don’t say anything about what should happen to the triples generated, or whether more triples might be generated during processing than are outlined here. However, to be conformant, an RDFa processor needs to act as if at a minimum the rules in this section are applied, and a single [RDF graph] produced. As described in the RDFa Processor Conformance[p.22] section, any additional triples generated MUST NOT appear in the default graph[p.22].

5.2. Evaluation Context

During processing, each rule is applied using information provided by an evaluation context[p.30]. An initial context is created when processing begins, with the following set of values:

- The [base]. This will usually be the URL of the document being processed, but it could be some other URL, set by some other mechanism, such as the XHTML base element. The important thing is that it establishes a URL against which relative paths can be resolved.
- The [parent subject]. The initial value will be the same as the initial value of base[p.23], but it will usually change during the course of processing.
The [parent object]. In some situations the object of a statement becomes the subject of any nested statements, and this property is used to convey this value. Note that this value may be a bnode, since in some situations a number of nested statements are grouped together on one bnode. This means that the bnode must be set in the containing statement and passed down, and this property is used to convey this value.

- A list of current, in-scope [URI mappings] [p. 30].
- A list of [incomplete triple]s. A triple can be incomplete when no object resource is provided alongside a predicate that requires a resource (i.e., @rel or @rev). The triples can be completed when a resource becomes available, which will be when the next subject is specified (part of the process called [chaining] [p. 24]).
- The [language]. Note that there is no default language.

During the course of processing new [evaluation context] [p. 30]s are created which are passed to each child element. The rules described below will determine the values of the items in the context. Additionally, some rules will cause new triples to be created by combining information provided by an element with information from the [evaluation context] [p. 30].

During the course of processing a number of locally scoped values are needed, as follows:

- An initially empty list of [URI mapping] [p. 31]s, called the [local list of URI mappings].
- An initially empty [list of incomplete triples], called the [local list of incomplete triples].
- An initially empty [language] [p. 24] value.
- A [recurse] flag. Processing generally continues recursively through the entire tree of elements available. However, if an author indicates that some branch of the tree should be treated as an XML literal, no further processing should take place on that branch, and setting this flag to false would have that effect.
- A [skip element] flag, which indicates whether the [current element] [p. 31] can safely be ignored since it has no relevant RDFa attributes. Note that descendant elements will still be processed.
- A [new subject] value, which once calculated will set the [parent subject] [p. 23] property in an [evaluation context] [p. 30], as well as being used to complete any [incomplete triple] [p. 24], as described in the next section.
- A value for the [current object literal], the literal to use when creating triples that have a literal object.
- A value for the [current object resource], the resource to use when creating triples that have a resource object.

5.3. Chaining

RDFa has the notion of [chaining] which aims to combine statements together in as intuitive a way as possible, so as avoid unnecessary repetition of mark-up. For example, if an author were to add statements as children of an object that was a resource, these statements should be interpreted as being about that resource:
In this example we can see that an object resource ('Germany'), has become the subject for nested statements. This mark-up also illustrates the basic chaining pattern of 'A has a B has a C' (i.e., Einstein has a birth place of Germany, which has a long name of "Federal Republic of Germany").

It’s also possible for the subject of nested statements to provide the object for containing statements—essentially the reverse of the example we have just seen. To illustrate, we’ll take an example of the type of chaining just described, and show how it could be marked up more efficiently. To start, we mark up the fact that Albert Einstein had both German and American citizenship:

Now, we show the same information, but this time we create an incomplete triple from the citizenship part, and then use any number of further subjects to complete that triple, as follows:

In this example, the incomplete triple actually gets completed twice, once for Germany and once for the USA, giving exactly the same information as we had in the earlier example:

Chaining can sometimes involve elements containing relatively minimal mark-up, for example showing only one resource, or only one predicate. Here the img element is used to carry a picture of Einstein:
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When such minimal mark-up is used, any of the resource-related attributes could act as a subject or an object in the chaining:

```xml
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <div rel="dbp:citizenship">
    <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany"></span>
    <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/United_States"></span>
  </div>
</div>
```

### 5.4. CURIE and URI Processing

Since RDFa is ultimately a means for transporting RDF, then a key concept is the resource and its manifestation as a URI. Since RDF deals with complete URIs (not relative paths), then when converting RDFa to triples, any relative URIs will need to be resolved relative to the base URI, using the algorithm defined in section 5 of RFC 3986 [URI [p. 83]], Reference Resolution.

Many of the attributes that hold URIs are also able to carry ‘compact URIs’ or CURIEs. A CURIE is a convenient way to represent a long URI, by replacing a leading section of the URI with a substitution token. It’s possible for authors to define a number of substitution tokens as they see fit; the full URI is obtained by locating the mapping defined by a token from a list of in-scope tokens, and then simply concatenating the second part of the CURIE onto the mapped value.

For example, the full URI for Albert Einstein on DPPedia is:

```
http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein
```

This can be shortened by authors to make the information easier to manage, using a CURIE. The first step is for the author to create a prefix mapping that links a prefix to some leading segment of the URI. In RDFa these mappings are expressed using the XML namespace syntax:

```xml
<div xmlns:db="http://dbpedia.org/">
  ...
</div>
```

Once the prefix has been established, an author can then use it to shorten a URI as follows:

```xml
<div xmlns:db="http://dbpedia.org/">
  <div about="[db:resource/Albert_Einstein]">
    ...
  </div>
</div>
```

The author is free to break the URI at any point, as long as it begins at the left end. However, since a common use of CURIEs is to make available libraries of terms and values, the prefix will usually be mapped to some common segment that provides the most re-use, often provided by those who manage the library of terms. For example, since DBPedia contains an enormous list of resources, it is more efficient to create a prefix mapping that uses the base location of the resources:
5.4.1. Scoping of Prefix Mappings

Since CURIE mappings are created by authors via the XML namespace syntax, an RDFa processor MUST take into account the hierarchical nature of prefix declarations. For example, the URIs expressed by the following two CURIEs are different, despite the common prefix, because the prefix mappings are locally scoped:

```
<div xmlns:dbr="http://dbpedia.org/resource/"
     xmlns:curie="http://example.com/resource1/">
  <div about="[dbr:Albert_Einstein]">
    ...
  </div>
  <div about="[dbr:Baruch_Spinoza]">
    ...
  </div>
</div>
```

5.4.2. Converting a CURIE to a URI

Since a CURIE is merely a means for abbreviating a URI, its value is a URI, rather than the abbreviated form. Obtaining a URI from a CURIE involves the following steps:

1. Split the CURIE at the colon to obtain the prefix and the resource.
2. Using the prefix and the current in-scope mappings, obtain the URI that the prefix maps to.
3. Concatenate the mapped URI with the resource value, to obtain an absolute URI.

Note that it is generally considered a good idea not to use relative paths in namespace declarations, but since it is possible that an author may ignore this guidance, it is further possible that the URI obtained from a CURIE is relative. However, since all URIs must be resolved relative to before being used to create triples, the use of relative paths should not have any effect on processing.

5.4.3. General Use of CURIEs in Attributes

There are a number of ways that attributes will make use of CURIEs, and they need to be dealt with differently. These are:

1. An attribute may allow one or more CURIE-only values, disallowing other types of value. In this case any value that is not a ‘curie’ according to the definition in the section MUST be ignored; this means that not only will there be no error
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reporting, but also the RDFa processor should act as if the value simply did not exist.

2. An attribute may allow one or more values that are a mixture of CURIEs and full URIs. In this case any value that is not surrounded by square brackets, as defined by ‘safe_curie’ in the section CURIE Syntax Definition [p.53], will be processed as if it was a URI. If the value is surrounded by square brackets, then the inner content must conform to the ‘curie’ definition, and as before, if it does not then the value MUST be ignored.

An example of an attribute that can contain CURIE and non-CURIE values is @about. As described, any CURIEs expressed in the attribute must follow the format of a [safe CURIE [p.53]]. So to express a URI directly, an author might do this:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
...
</div>
```

whilst to express a CURIE they would do this:

```html
<div about="[dbr:Albert_Einstein]">
...
</div>
```

Since non-CURIE values MUST be ignored, the following value in @about would *not* set a new subject, since the CURIE has no prefix separator.

```html
<div about="[Albert_Einstein]">
...
</div>
```

However, this mark-up *would* set a subject, since it is not a CURIE, but a valid relative URI:

```html
<div about="Albert_Einstein">
...
</div>
```

There is one exception to this; @rel and @rev can also take any value from the list in the section on The rel attribute [p.58], and any matching value MUST be treated as if it was a full URI with the XHTML vocabulary as its prefix mapping. This is discussed further in the next section.

5.4.4. Use of CURIEs in Specific Attributes

The general rules discussed in the previous section apply to the RDFa attributes in the following ways:

- @about and @resource support either a URI or a CURIE (expressed as a [safe CURIE [p.53]]).
- @href and @src support only a URI.
- @property, @datatype and @typeof support only CURIE values.
- @rel and @rev support both XHTML link types and CURIEs.
Note that unlike @about and @resource, @rel and @rev do not differentiate their two types of data by using [safe CURIE][p.53]. Instead, any value that matches an entry in the list of link types in the section [The rel attribute][p.58], MUST be treated as if it was a URI within the XHTML vocabulary, and all other values must be CURIEs. This means that either of the following examples:

```html
<link rel="next" href="http://example.org/page2.html" />
<link rel="xhv:next" href="http://example.org/page2.html" />
```

would generate this triple:

```turtle
<> <http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab#next> <http://example.org/page2.html> .
```

Note that only values in the link type list have this special behaviour, which means that any value that is not in the list and is not a valid CURIE MUST not generate triples in the [default graph][p.22]. For example, no triples would be generated in the [default graph][p.22] by the following mark-up:

```html
<link rel="foobar" href="http://example.org/page7.html" />
```

5.4.5. Referencing Blank Nodes

In RDFa, it is possible to establish relationships using various types of resource references, including [bnode][p.19]. If a subject or object is defined using a CURIE, and that CURIE explicitly names a [bnode][p.19], then a conforming parser MUST create the bnode when it is encountered during parsing. The parser MUST also ensure that no bnodes created automatically (as a result of [chaining][p.24]) have names that collide with bnodes that are defined by explicit reference in a CURIE.

Consider the following example:

```html
<link about="_:john" rel="foaf:mbox" href="mailto:john@example.org" />
<link about="_:sue" rel="foaf:mbox" href="mailto:sue@example.org" />
<link about="_:john" rel="foaf:knows" resource="_:sue" />
```

In the above fragment, two bnodes are explicitly created as the subject of triples. Those bnodes are then referenced to demonstrate the relationship between the parties. After processing, the following triples will be generated:

```
_:john foaf:mbox <mailto:john@example.org> .
_:sue foaf:mbox <mailto:sue@example.org> .
_:john foaf:knows _:sue .
```
5.5. Sequence

Processing would normally begin after the document to be parsed has been completely loaded. However, there is no requirement for this to be the case, and it is certainly possible to use a stream-based approach, such as SAX [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SAX] to extract the RDFa information. However, if some approach other than the DOM traversal technique defined here is used, it is important to ensure that any meta or link elements processed in the head of the document honor any occurrences of base which may appear after those elements. (In other words, XHTML processing rules must still be applied, even if document processing takes place in a non-HTML environment such as a search indexer.)

At the beginning of processing, an initial [evaluation context] is created, as follows:

- the [base] is set to either the URL of the document or the value specified in the base element, if present;
- note that XHTML 1.1, and therefore XHTML+RDFa, does NOT permit the use of @xml:base, so the only way to change the [base] is via the base element. If some other XML dialect that supports @xml:base eventually implements RDFa, a conforming RDFa parser for that host language will likely process @xml:base and use its value to set [base].
- the [parent subject] is set to the [base] value;
- the [parent object] is set to null;
- the [list of URI mappings] is empty;
- the [list of incomplete triples] is empty;
- the [language] is set to null.

Processing begins by applying the processing rules below to the document object, in the context of this initial [evaluation context]. All elements in the tree are also processed according to the rules described below, depth-first, although the [evaluation context] used for each set of rules will be based on previous rules that may have been applied.

The processing rules are:

1. First, the local values are initialized, as follows:
   - the [recurse] flag is set to ‘true’;
   - the [skip element] flag is set to ‘false’;
   - the [new subject] is set to null;
   - the [current object resource] is set to null;
   - the [list of URI mappings] is set to the list of URI mappings from the [evaluation context];
   - the [list of incomplete triples] is set to null;
   - the [current language] value is set to the [language] value from the [evaluation context].

Note that some of the local variables are temporary containers for values that will be passed to descendant elements via an [evaluation context]. In some cases the containers will have the same name, so to make it clear which is being acted upon in the following
steps, the local version of an item will generally be referred to as such.

2. Next the [current element] is parsed for [URI mapping]s and these are added to the [local list of URI mappings][p.24]. Note that a [URI mapping][p.31] will simply overwriten any current mapping in the list that has the same name;

Mappings are provided by @xmlns. The value to be mapped is set by the XML namespace prefix, and the value to map is the value of the attribute—a URI. Note that the URI is not processed in any way; in particular if it is a relative path it is not resolved against the current [base][p.23]. Authors are advised to follow best practice for using namespaces, which includes not using relative paths.

3. The [current element][p.31] is also parsed for any language information, and if present, [current language][p.34] is set accordingly;

Language information can be provided using the general-purpose XML attribute @xml:lang.

4. If the [current element][p.31] contains no @rel or @rev attribute, then the next step is to establish a value for [new subject][p.24]. Any of the attributes that can carry a resource can set [new subject][p.24]; [new subject][p.24] is set to the URI obtained from the first match from the following rules:
   - by using the URI from @about, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26];
   - otherwise, by using the URI from @src, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26].
   - otherwise, by using the URI from @resource, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26];
   - otherwise, by using the URI from @href, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26].

If no URI is provided by a resource attribute, then the first match from the following rules will apply:
   - if the element is the head or body element then act as if there is an empty @about present, and process it according to the rule for @about, above;
   - if @typeof is present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26], then [new subject][p.24] is set to be a newly created [bnode][p.19].
   - otherwise, if [parent object][p.24] is present, [new subject][p.24] is set to the value of [parent object][p.24]. Additionally, if @property is not present then the [skip element][p.24] flag is set to 'true';

5. If the [current element][p.31] does contain a @rel or @rev attribute, then the next step is to establish both a value for [new subject][p.24] and a value for [current object resource][p.24]; [new subject][p.24] is set to the URI obtained from the first match from the following rules:
   - by using the URI from @about, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26];
   - otherwise, by using the URI from @src, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing[p.26].
If no URI is provided then the first match from the following rules will apply:

- if the element is the head or body element then act as if there is an empty @about present, and process it according to the rule for @about, above;
- if @typeof is present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing [p. 26], then new subject[p.24] is set to be a newly created bnode[p.19];
- otherwise, if parent object[p.24] is present, new subject[p.24] is set to that.

Then the current object resource[p.24] is set to the URI obtained from the first match from the following rules:

- by using the URI from @resource, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing [p.26];
- otherwise, by using the URI from @href, if present, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing [p.26].

Note that final value of the current object resource[p.24] will either be null (from initialization) or a full URI.

6. If in any of the previous steps a new subject[p.24] was set to a non-null value, it is now used to provide a subject for type values; One or more 'types' for the new subject[p.24] can be set by using @typeof. If present, the attribute must contain one or more URIs, obtained according to the section on URI and CURIE Processing [p.26], each of which is used to generate a triple as follows:

subject  
new subject[p.24]  
predicate  
http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#type  
object  
full URI of 'type'

Note that none of this block is executed if there is no new subject[p.24] value, i.e., new subject[p.24] remains null.

7. If in any of the previous steps a current object resource[p.24] was set to a non-null value, it is now used to generate triples: Predicates for the current object resource[p.24] can be set by using one or both of the @rel and @rev attributes:

- If present, @rel may contain one or more URIs, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing [p.26] each of which is used to generate a triple as follows:

subject  
new subject[p.24]  
predicate  
full URI  
object  
current object resource[p.24]

- If present, @rev may contain one or more URIs, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing [p.26] each of which is used to generate a triple as follows:
8. If however the \texttt{current object resource[p.24]} was set to null, but there are predicates present, then they must be stored as incomplete \texttt{triples[p.24]}, pending the discovery of a subject that can be used as the object. Also, \texttt{current object resource[p.24]} should be set to a newly created \texttt{bnode[p.19]}; Predicates for incomplete \texttt{triples[p.24]} can be set by using one or both of the \texttt{@rel} and \texttt{@rev} attributes:

- If present, \texttt{@rel} must contain one or more URIs, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing \texttt[p.26], each of which is added to the local list of incomplete \texttt{triples[p.24]} as follows:
  - predicate
  - full URI
  - direction
  - forward

- If present, \texttt{@rev} must contain one or more URIs, obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing \texttt[p.26], each of which is added to the local list of incomplete \texttt{triples[p.24]} as follows:
  - predicate
  - full URI
  - direction
  - reverse

9. The next step of the iteration is to establish any \texttt{current object literal[p.24]}; Predicates for the \texttt{current object literal[p.24]} can be set by using \texttt{@property}. If present, one or more URIs are obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing \texttt[p.26], and then the actual literal value is obtained as follows:

- as a \texttt{typed literal[p.17]} if:
  - @datatype is present, and does not have an empty value.

  The actual literal is either the value of @content (if present) or a string created by concatenating the value of all descendant text nodes, of the \texttt{current element[p.31]} in turn. The final string includes the datatype URI, as described in RDF-CONCEPTS \texttt[p.84], which will have been obtained according to the section on CURIE and URI Processing \texttt[p.26].

- as a \texttt{plain literal[p.17]} if:
  - @content is present;
  - or all children of the \texttt{current element[p.31]} are text nodes;
  - or there are no child nodes (in which case the literal value is the empty string);
  - or the body of the \texttt{current element[p.31]} does have non-text child nodes but @datatype is present, with an empty value.
Additionally, if there is a value for [current language] then the value of the [plain literal] [p.17] should include this language information, as described in [RDF-CONCEPTS] [p.84]. The actual literal is either the value of @content (if present) or a string created by concatenating the text content of each of the descendant elements of the [current element] [p.31] in document order.

- as an [XML literal] [p.48] if:
  - the [current element] [p.31] has any child nodes that are not simply text nodes, and @datatype is not present, or is present, but is set to rdf:XMLLiteral.

The value of the [XML literal] [p.48] is a string created by serializing to text, all nodes that are descendants of the [current element] [p.31], i.e., not including the element itself, and giving it a datatype of rdf:XMLLiteral.

The [current object literal] [p.24] is then used with each predicate to generate a triple as follows:

- subject [new subject] [p.24]
- predicate full URI
- object [current object literal] [p.24]

Once the triple has been created, if the [datatype] of the [current object literal] [p.24] is rdf:XMLLiteral, then the [recurse] [p.24] flag is set to false.

10. If the [skip element] [p.24] flag is 'false', and [new subject] [p.24] was set to a non-null value, then any [incomplete triple] [p.24]s within the current context should be completed: The [list of incomplete triples] [p.24] from the current [evaluation context] [p.30] (not the [local list of incomplete triples] [p.24]) will contain zero or more predicate URIs. This list is iterated, and each of the predicates is used with [parent subject] [p.23] and [new subject] [p.24] to generate a triple. Note that at each level there are two, lists of [incomplete triple] [p.24]s; one for the current processing level (which is passed to each child element in the previous step), and one that was received as part of the [evaluation context] [p.30]. It is the latter that is used in processing during this step.

Note that each [incomplete triple] [p.24] has a [direction] value that it used to determine what will become the subject, and what will become the object, of each generated triple:

- If [direction] [p.34] is 'forward' then the following triple is generated:
  - subject [parent subject] [p.23]
  - predicate the predicate from the iterated [incomplete triple] [p.24]
  - object [new subject] [p.24]

- If [direction] [p.34] is not 'forward' then this is the triple generated:
subject
    \texttt{new subject}[p.24] \\

predicate
    the predicate from the iterated \texttt{incomplete triple}[p.24] \\

object
    \texttt{parent subject}[p.23] \\

11. If the \texttt{recurse}[p.24] flag is 'true', all elements that are children of the \texttt{current element}[p.31] are processed using the rules described here, using a new \texttt{evaluation context}[p.30], initialized as follows:

- If the \texttt{skip element}[p.24] flag is 'true' then the new \texttt{evaluation context}[p.30] is a copy of the current context that was passed in to this level of processing, with the \texttt{language}[p.24] and \texttt{list of URI mappings}[p.30] values replaced with the local values;

- Otherwise, the values are:
  
  - the \texttt{base}[p.23] is set to the \texttt{base}[p.23] value of the current \texttt{evaluation context}[p.30];
  
  - the \texttt{parent subject}[p.23] is set to the value of \texttt{new subject}[p.24], if non-null, or the value of the \texttt{parent subject}[p.23] of the current \texttt{evaluation context}[p.30];
  
  - the \texttt{parent object}[p.24] is set to value of \texttt{current object resource}[p.24], if non-null, or the value of \texttt{new subject}[p.24], if non-null, or the value of the \texttt{parent subject}[p.23] of the current \texttt{evaluation context}[p.30];
  
  - the \texttt{list of URI mappings}[p.30] is set to the \texttt{local list of URI mappings}[p.24];
  
  - the \texttt{list of incomplete triples}[p.24] is set to the \texttt{local list of incomplete triples}[p.24];
  
  - \texttt{language}[p.24] is set to the value of \texttt{current language}[p.34].
6. RDFa Processing in detail

This section is normative.

This section provides an in-depth examination of the processing steps described in the previous section. It also includes examples which may help clarify some of the steps involved.

The key to processing is that a triple is generated whenever a predicate/object combination is detected. The actual triple generated will include a subject that may have been set previously, so this is tracked in the current [evaluation context][p.30] and is called the [parent subject][p.23]. Since the subject will default to the current document if it hasn’t been set explicitly, then a predicate/object combination is always enough to generate one or more triples.

The attributes for setting a predicate are @rel, @rev and @property, whilst the attributes for setting an object are @resource, @href, @content, and @src. @typeof is unique in that it sets both a predicate and an object at the same time (and also a subject when it appears in the absence of other attributes that would set a subject). Inline content might also set an object, if @content is not present, but @property is.

6.1. Changing the evaluation context

6.1.1. Setting the current subject

When triples are created they will always be in relation to a subject resource which is provided either by [new subject][p.24] (if there are rules on the current element that have set a subject) or [parent subject][p.23], as passed in via the [evaluation context][p.30]. This section looks at the specific ways in which these values are set. Note that it doesn’t matter how the subject is arrived at, so in this section we use the idea of the [current subject] which may be either [new subject][p.24] or [parent subject][p.23].

6.1.1.1. The current document

When parsing begins, the [current subject][p.37] will be the URI of the document being parsed, or a value as set by base according to normal XHTML processing rules. This means that any metadata found in the head of the document will concern the document itself:

```xml
<html>
<head>
  <title>Jo’s Friends and Family Blog</title>
  <link rel="foaf:primaryTopic" href="#bbq" />
  <meta property="dc:creator" content="Jo" />
</head>
<body>
  ...
</body>
</html>
```
This would generate the following triples:

```xml
<> foaf:primaryTopic "#bbq" .
<> dc:creator "Jo" .
```

It is possible for the data to appear elsewhere in the document:

```xml
<html>
<head>
<title>Jo’s Blog</title>
</head>
<body>
<h1><span property="dc:creator">Jo</span>’s blog</h1>
<p> Welcome to my blog. </p>
</body>
</html>
```

which would still generate the triple:

```xml
<> dc:creator "Jo" .
```

The value of `base` may change the initial value of [current subject][p.37 ]:

```xml
<html>
<head>
  <base href="http://www.example.org/jo/blog" />
  <title>Jo’s Friends and Family Blog</title>
  <link rel="foaf:primaryTopic" href="#bbq" />
  <meta property="dc:creator" content="Jo" />
</head>
<body>
... 
</body>
</html>
```

A parser should now generate the following triples, regardless of the URL from which the XHTML document is served:

```xml
<http://www.example.org/jo/blog> foaf:primaryTopic "#bbq" .
<http://www.example.org/jo/blog> dc:creator "Jo" .
```

### 6.1.1.2. Using @about

As processing progresses, any `@about` attributes will change the [current subject][p.37 ]. The value of `@about` is a URI or a CURIE. If it is a relative URI then it needs to be resolved against the current [base][p.23 ] value. To illustrate how this affects the statements, note in this mark-up how the properties inside the `body` element become part of a new calendar event object, rather than referring to the document as they do in the head of the document:
<html>
<head>
<title>Jo’s Friends and Family Blog</title>
<link rel="foaf:primaryTopic" href="#bbq" />
<meta property="dc:creator" content="Jo" />
</head>
<body>
<p about="#bbq" typeof="cal:Vevent">
I’m holding
<span property="cal:summary">
one last summer barbecue
</span>,
on
<span property="cal:dtstart" content="2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00" datatype="xsd:dateTime">
September 16th at 4pm
</span>.
</p>
</body>
</html>

With this mark-up a parser should generate the following triples:

<> foaf:primaryTopic <#bbq> .
<> dc:creator "Jo" .
<#bbq> rdf:type cal:Vevent .
<#bbq> cal:summary "one last summer barbecue" .
<#bbq> cal:dtstart "2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00"^^xsd:dateTime .

Other kinds of resources can be used to set the [current subject][p.37 ], not just references to web-pages. Although not advised, email addresses might be used to represent a person:

John knows
<a about="mailto:john@example.org" rel="foaf:knows" href="mailto:sue@example.org">Sue</a>.

Sue knows
<a about="mailto:sue@example.org" rel="foaf:knows" href="mailto:jim@example.org">Jim</a>.

This should generate the following triples:

<mailto:john@example.org> foaf:knows <mailto:sue@example.org> .
<mailto:sue@example.org> foaf:knows <mailto:jim@example.org> .

Similarly, authors may make statements about images:

<div about="photo1.jpg">
this photo was taken by
<span property="dc:creator">Mark Birbeck</span>
</div>

which should generate the following triples:
6.1.1.3. Using @src

If @about is not present, then @src is next in priority order, for setting the subject of a statement. A typical use would be to indicate the licensing type of an image:

```html
<img src="photo1.jpg" rel="license" resource="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/" />
```

Since there is no difference between @src and @about, then the information expressed in the last example in the section on @about (the creator of an image), could be expressed as follows:

```html
<img src="photo1.jpg" rel="license" resource="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/"
property="dc:creator" content="Mark Birbeck"/>
```

Since normal chaining rules will apply, the image URL can also be used to complete hanging triples:

```html
<div about="http://www.blogger.com/profile/1109404" rel="foaf:img">
  <img src="photo1.jpg" rel="license" resource="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/"
       property="dc:creator" content="Mark Birbeck"/>
</div>
```

The complete mark-up yields three triples:

```
<photo1.jpg> xh:license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/> .
<photo1.jpg> dc:creator "Mark Birbeck" .
```

6.1.1.4. Creating a new item with @typeof

Whilst @about explicitly creates a new context for statements, @typeof does so implicitly. @typeof works differently to other ways of setting a predicate since the predicate is always `rdf:type`, which means that the processor only requires one attribute, the value of the type.

Since @typeof is setting the type of an item, this means that if no item exists one should automatically be created. This involves generating a new bnode, and is examined in more detail below; it is mentioned here because the bnode used by the new item will become the subject for further statements.

For example, an author may wish to create mark-up for a person using the FOAF vocabulary, but without having a clear identifier for the item:

```html
<div typeof="foaf:Person">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="foaf:givenname">Albert</span>
</div>
```
This mark-up would cause a bnode to be created which has a 'type' of foaf:Person, as well as name and given name properties:

```xml
_:a rdf:type foaf:Person .
_:a foaf:name "Albert Einstein" .
_:a foaf:givenname "Albert" .
```

A bnode is simply a unique identifier that is only available to the processor, not to any external software. By generating values internally, the processor is able to keep track of properties for _:a as being distinct from _:b. But by not exposing these values to any external software, it is possible to have complete control over the identifier, as well as preventing further statements being made about the item.

### 6.1.1.5. Determining the subject with neither @about nor @typeof

As described in the previous two sections, @about will always take precedence and mark a new subject, but if no @about value is available then @typeof will do the same job, although using an implied identifier, i.e., a bnode.

But if neither @about or @typeof are present, there are a number of ways that the subject could be arrived at. One of these is to 'inherit' the subject from the containing statement, with the value to be inherited set either explicitly, or implicitly.

#### 6.1.1.5.1. Inheriting subject from @resource

The most usual way that an inherited subject might get set would be when the parent statement has an object that is a resource. Returning to the earlier example, in which the long name for Germany was added, the following mark-up was used:

```xml
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" />
  <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
</div>
```

In an earlier illustration the subject and object for Germany were elided by removing the @resource, relying on the @about to set the object:

```xml
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace">
    <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
  </div>
</div>
```
but it is also possible for authors to achieve the same effect by removing the @about and leaving the @resource:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany">
    <span property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
  </div>
</div>
```

In this situation, all statements that are 'contained' by the object resource representing Germany (the value in @resource) will have the same subject, making it easy for authors to add additional statements:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany">
    <span property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
    <span rel="dbp:capital" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Berlin" />
  </div>
</div>
```

Looking at the triples that a parser would generate, we can see that we actually have two groups of statements; the first group are set to refer to the @about that contains them:

```xml
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein> dbp:dateOfBirth "1879-03-14"^^xsd:date .
```

whilst the second group refer to the @resource that contains them:

```xml
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany>
  dbp:conventionalLongName "Federal Republic of Germany" .
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany>
```

Note also that the same principle described here applies to @src and @href.

6.1.1.5.2. Inheriting an anonymous subject

There will be occasions when the the author wants to elide the subject and object as shown above, but is not concerned to name the resource that is common to the two statements (i.e., the object of the first statement, which is the subject of the second). For example, to indicate that Einstein was influenced by Spinoza the following mark-up could well be used:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza" rel="dbp:influenced">
  <div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
    <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
    <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  </div>
</div>
```
A parser should generate the following triples:

```
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza>
<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein> dbp:dateOfBirth "1879-03-14"^^xsd:date .
```

However, an author could just as easily say that Spinoza influenced something by the name of Albert Einstein, that was born on March 14th, 1879:

```
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza" rel="dbp:influenced">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
</div>
```

In RDF terms, the item that 'represents' Einstein is *anonymous*, since it has no URI to identify it. However, the item is given an automatically generated bnode, and it is onto this identifier that all child statements are attached:

A parser should generate the following triples:

```
_:a foaf:name "Albert Einstein" .
_:a dbp:dateOfBirth "1879-03-14"^^xsd:date .
```

Note that the `div` is superfluous, and a parser should create the intermediate object even if the element is removed:

```
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza" rel="dbp:influenced">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
</div>
```

An alternative pattern is to *keep* the `div` and move the `@rel` onto it:

```
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza">
  <div rel="dbp:influenced">
    <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
    <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  </div>
</div>
```

From the point of view of the mark-up, this latter layout is to be preferred, since it draws attention to the 'hanging rel'. But from the point of view of a parser, all of these permutations need to be supported.
6.2. Completing 'incomplete triples'

When a new subject is calculated, it is also used to complete any incomplete triples that are pending. This situation arises when the author wants to ‘chain’ a number of statements together. For example, an author could have a statement that Albert Einstein was born in Germany:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" />
</div>
```

and then a further statement that the 'long name' for Germany is the *Federal Republic of Germany*:

```html
<span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
```

RDFa allows authors to insert this statement as a self-contained unit into other contexts:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace" resource="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" />
  <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
</div>
```

But it also allows authors to avoid unnecessary repetition and to 'normalize' out duplicate identifiers, in this case the one for Germany:

```html
<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
  <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
  <div rel="dbp:birthPlace">
    <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" property="dbp:conventionalLongName">Federal Republic of Germany</span>
  </div>
</div>
```

When this happens the @rel for 'birth place' is regarded as a 'hanging rel' because it has not yet generated any triples, but these 'incomplete triples' are completed by the @about that appears on the next line. The first step is therefore to store the two parts of the triple that the parser *does* have, but without an object:

```html
```

Then as processing continues, the parser encounters the subject of the statement about the long name for Germany, and this is used in two ways. First it is used to complete the 'incomplete triple':

and second it is used to generate its own triple:


Note that each occurrence of @about will complete any incomplete triples. For example, to mark up the fact that Albert Einstein had both German and American citizenship, an author need only specify one @rel value that is then used with multiple @about values:

<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein" rel="dbp:citizenship">
  <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Germany" />
  <span about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/United_States" />
</div>

In this example there is one incomplete triple:


When the processor meets each of the @about values, this triple is completed, giving:


These examples show how @about completes triples, but there are other situations that can have the same effect. For example, when @typeof creates a new bnode (as described above), that will be used to complete any ‘incomplete triples’. To illustrate, to indicate that Spinoza influenced both Einstein and Schopenhauer, the following mark-up could be used:

<div about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Baruch_Spinoza">
  <div rel="dbp:influenced">
    <div typeof="foaf:Person">
      <span property="foaf:name">Albert Einstein</span>
      <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1879-03-14</span>
    </div>
    <div typeof="foaf:Person">
      <span property="foaf:name">Arthur Schopenhauer</span>
      <span property="dbp:dateOfBirth" datatype="xsd:date">1788-02-22</span>
    </div>
  </div>
</div>

First the following incomplete triple is stored:


Then when the parser processes the two occurrences of @typeof, each generates a bnode, which is used to both complete the ‘incomplete triple’, and to set the subject for further statements:
Triples are also 'completed' if any one of @property, @rel or @rev are present. However, unlike the situation when @about or @typeof are present, all predicates are attached to one bnode:

This example has two 'hanging rels', and so two situations when 'incomplete triples' will be created. Processing would proceed as follows; first an incomplete triple is stored:

Next, the parser processes the predicate values for foaf:name, dbp:dateOfBirth and dbp:citizenship, but note that only the first needs to 'complete' the 'hanging rel'. So processing foaf:name generates two triples:

but processing dbp:dateOfBirth generates only one:

Processing dbp:citizenship also uses the same bnode, but note that it also generates its own 'incomplete triple':

As before, the two occurrences of @about complete the 'incomplete triple', once each:

The entire set of triples that a parser should generate are as follows:
6.3. Object resolution

Although objects have been discussed in the previous sections, as part of the explanation of subject resolution, chaining, evaluation contexts, and so on, this section will look at objects in more detail.

There are two types of object, [URI resource][p.47]s and [literal][p.17]s.

A [literal][p.17] object can be set by using @property to express a [predicate][p.19], and then using either @content, or the inline text of the element that @property is on. Note that the use of @content prohibits the inclusion of rich markup in your literal. If the inline content of an element accurately represents the object, then documents should rely upon that rather than duplicating that data using the @content.

A [URI resource] object can be set using one of @rel or @rev to express a [predicate][p.19], and then either using one of @href, @resource or @src to provide an object resource explicitly, or using the chaining techniques described above to obtain an object from a nested subject, or from a bnode.

6.3.1. Literal object resolution

An [object literal] will be generated when @property is present. @property provides the predicate, and the following sections describe how the actual literal to be generated is determined.

6.3.1.1. Plain Literals

@content can be used to indicate a [plain literal][p.17], as follows:

```xml
<meta about="http://internet-apps.blogspot.com/
property="dc:creator" content="Mark Birbeck" />
```

The [plain literal][p.17] can also be specified by using the content of the element:

```xml
<span about="http://internet-apps.blogspot.com/
property="dc:creator">Mark Birbeck</span>
```

Both of these examples give the following triple:

```
```

The value of @content is given precedence over any element content, so the following would give exactly the same triple:

```xml
<span about="http://internet-apps.blogspot.com/
property="dc:creator" content="Mark Birbeck">John Doe</span>
```
6.3.1.1.1. Language Tags

RDF allows \[plain literal\]s to have a language tag, as illustrated by the following example from \[RDFTESTS-RDFMS-XMLLANG-TEST006]\[p.85\] :

\[
<\text{http://example.org/node}>
\<\text{http://example.org/property}\> \text{"chat"}\text{@fr}.
\]

In RDFa the XML language attribute @xml:lang is used to add this information, whether the plain literal is designated by @content, or by the inline text of the element:

\[
<\text{meta about="http://example.org/node"}
\> \text{property="ex:property" xml:lang="fr" content="chat"} />
\]

Note that the language value can be inherited as defined in \[XML-LANG\][p.83], so the following syntax will give the same triple as above:

\[
<\text{html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
xmlns:ex="http://www.example.com/ns/" xml:lang="fr">
\<\text{head}>
\<\text{title xml:lang="en">Example</title>
\<\text{meta about="http://example.org/node"}
\> \text{property="ex:property" content="chat"} />
\</\text{head}>
\...
\</\text{html}>
\]

6.3.1.2. Typed literals

Literals can be given a data type using @datatype.

This can be represented in RDFa as follows:

\[
<\text{span property="cal:dtstart" content="2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00"}
\> \text{September 16th at 4pm} \</\text{span}>
\]

The triples that this mark-up generates include the datatype after the literal:

\[
<\text{cal:dtstart }"2007-09-16T16:00:00-05:00"^^xsd:dateTime}>
\]

6.3.1.3. XML Literals

XML documents cannot contain XML mark-up in their attributes, which means it is not possible to represent XML within @content (the following would cause an XML parser to generate an error):

\[
<\text{head}>
\<\text{meta property="dc:title"}
\> \text{content="E = mc}^2\text{"} \</\text{meta}>
\<\text{head}>
\]
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It does not help to escape the content, since the output would simply be a string of text containing numerous ampersands:

```xml
<dc:title>
  E = mc<sup>2</sup>: The Most Urgent Problem of Our Time
</dc:title>
```

RDFa therefore supports the use of normal mark-up to express XML literals, by using @datatype:

```xml
<h2 property="dc:title" datatype="rdf:XMLLiteral">
  E = mc<sup>2</sup>: The Most Urgent Problem of Our Time
</h2>
```

This would generate the following triple, with the XML preserved in the literal:

```xml
<dc:title>
  E = mc<sup>2</sup>: The Most Urgent Problem of Our Time
</dc:title>
```

Note that this requires that a URI mapping for the prefix rdf has been defined. To make authoring easier, if there are child elements and no @datatype attribute, then the effect is the same as if @datatype have been explicitly set to rdf:XMLLiteral:

```xml
<h2 property="dc:title">
  E = mc<sup>2</sup>: The Most Urgent Problem of Our Time
</h2>
```

In the examples given here the sup element is actually part of the meaning of the literal, but there will be situations where the extra mark-up means nothing, and can therefore be ignored. In this situation an empty @datatype value can be used to override the XML literal behaviour:

```xml
<p>You searched for <strong>Einstein</strong>:</p>
<p about="http://dbpedia.org/resource/Albert_Einstein">
  <span property="foaf:name" datatype="">
    Albert <strong>Einstein</strong>
  </span>
  (b. March 14, 1879, d. April 18, 1955) was a German-born theoretical physicist.
</p>
```

Although the rendering of this page has highlighted the term the user searched for, setting @datatype to nothing ensures that the data is interpreted as a plain literal, giving the following triples:

```xml
```

Although the RDFa processing model requires visiting each element in the tree, if the processor meets an XML literal then it MUST NOT process any further down the tree. This is to prevent triples being generated from mark-up that is not actually in the hierarchy. For example, we might want to set the title of something to some XHTML that itself includes RDFa:

```xml
<h2 property="dc:title">
  Example 3: <span about="#bbq" typeof="cal:Vevent">...</span>
</h2>
```
In this example the nested RDFa should not be parsed. This effectively means that the presence of @property without @content will inhibit any further processing, so authors should watch out for stray attributes, especially if they find that they are getting fewer triples than they had expected.

6.3.2. URI object resolution

Most of the rules governing the processing of objects that are resources are to be found in the processing descriptions given above, since they are important for establishing the subject. This section aims to highlight general concepts, and anything that might have been missed.

One or more [URI object]s are needed when @rel or @rev is present. Each attribute will cause triples to be generated when used with @href, @resource or @src, or with the subject value of any nested statement if none of these attributes are present.

@rel and @rev are essentially the inverse of each other; whilst @rel establishes a relationship between the [current subject][p.37] as subject, and the [current object resource][p.24] as the object, @rev does the exact opposite, and uses the [current object resource][p.24] as the subject, and the [current subject][p.37] as the object.

6.3.2.1. Using @resource to set the object

RDFa provides the @resource attribute as a way to set the object of statements. This is particularly useful when referring to resources that are not themselves navigable links:

```html
<html>
  <head>
    <title>On Crime and Punishment</title>
    <base href="http://www.example.com/candp.xhtml"/>
  </head>
  <body>
    <blockquote about="#q1" rel="dc:source" resource="urn:ISBN:0140449132" >
      <p id="q1">
        Rodion Romanovitch! My dear friend! If you go on in this way you will go mad, I am positive! Drink, pray, if only a few drops!
      </p>
    </blockquote>
  </body>
</html>
```

The `<blockquote>` element generates the following triple:

```
```

6.3.2.2. Using @href

If no @resource is present, then @href is next in priority order, for setting the object.
When a predicate has been expressed using @rel, the @href on the [RDFa statement]'s element is used to identify the object with a [URI reference]. Its type is a URI:

```html
<link about="mailto:john@example.org" 
  rel="foaf:knows" href="mailto:sue@example.org" />
```

It's also possible to use both @rel and @rev at the same time on an element. This is particularly useful when two things stand in two different relationships with each other, for example when a picture is taken by Mark, but that picture also depicts him:

```html
<img src="photo1.jpg" rel="dc:creator" rev="foaf:img" 
  href="http://www.blogger.com/profile/1109404" />
```

which then yields two triples:

```html
<photo1.jpg>
<http://www.blogger.com/profile/1109404>
  foaf:img <photo1.jpg> .
```

### 6.3.2.3. Incomplete triples

When a triple predicate has been expressed using @rel or @rev, but no @href, @src, or @resource exists on the same element, there is a 'hanging rel'. This causes the current subject and all possible predicates (with an indicator of whether they are 'forwards, i.e., @rel values, or not, i.e., @rev values), to be stored as 'incomplete triples' pending discovery of a subject that could be used to 'complete' those triples.

This process is described in more detail in [Completing 'Incomplete Triples'](p.44).
7. CURIE Syntax Definition

This section is normative.

The key component of RDF is the URI, but these are usually long and unwieldy. RDFa therefore supports a mechanism by which URIs can be abbreviated, called ‘compact URIs’ or simply, CURIEs.

A CURIE is comprised of two components, a prefix and a reference. The prefix is separated from the reference by a colon (:\). In general use it is possible to omit the prefix, and so create a CURIE that makes use of the 'default prefix' mapping; in RDFa the 'default prefix' mapping is http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab#. It's also usually possible to omit both the prefix and the colon, and so create a CURIE that contains just a reference which makes use of the 'no prefix' mapping. However, RDFa does not define a 'no prefix' mapping, meaning that this form of CURIE is not supported.

The general syntax of a CURIE can be summarised as follows:

```plaintext
curie        :=   [ [ prefix ] ':' ] reference
prefix       :=   NCName
reference    :=   irelative-ref (as defined in [IRI])
```

In some situations an attribute will allow either a CURIE, or a normal URI. Since it is difficult to distinguish between CURIEs and URIs, the CURIE syntax adds the notion of a [safe CURIE]. The syntax is simply to surround the CURIE with square brackets:

```plaintext
safe_curie   :=   ['[ curie ']
```

In normal evaluation of CURIEs the following context information would need to be provided:

- a set of mappings from prefixes to URIs;
- a mapping to use with the default prefix (for example, :p);
- a mapping to use when there is no prefix (for example, p);
- a mapping to use with the '_' prefix, which is used to generate unique identifiers (for example, _:_p).

In RDFa these values are defined as follows:

- the **set of mappings from prefixes to URIs** is provided by the current in-scope prefix declarations of the [current element][p.31] during parsing;
- the **mapping to use with the default prefix** is the current default prefix mapping;
- the **mapping to use when there is no prefix** is not defined, which effectively prohibits the use of CURIEs that do not contain a colon;
- the **mapping to use with the '_' prefix**, is not explicitly stated, but since it is used to generate [bnode][p.19]s, its implementation needs to be compatible with the RDF definition.
A CURIE is a representation of a full URI. This URI is obtained by taking the currently in-scope mapping that is associated with prefix, and concatenating it with the reference. The resulting URI MUST be a syntactically valid IRI [IRI][p.83]. For a more detailed explanation see CURIE and URI Processing[p.26]. Note that while the lexical space of a CURIE is as defined in curie[p.53] above, the value space is the set of IRIs.
8. XHTML+RDFa Definition

This section is normative.

The XHTML+RDFa document type is a fully functional document type with rich semantics. It is a superset of [XHTML11][p.85].

The XHTML+RDFa 1.0 document type is made up of the following XHTML modules. The elements, attributes, and content models associated with these modules are defined in "XHTML Modularization" [XHTMLMOD][p.83]. The elements are listed here for information purposes, but the definitions in "XHTML Modularization" should be considered authoritative. In the on-line version of this document, the module names in the list below link into the definitions of the modules within the current versions of "XHTML Modularization".

- **Structure Module**
  - body, head, html, title

- **Text Module**
  - abbr, acronym, address, blockquote, br, cite, code, dfn, div, em, h1, h2, h3, h4, h5, h6, kbd, p, pre, q, samp, span, strong, var

- **Hypertext Module**
  - a, and @href is available on all elements.

- **List Module**
  - dl, dt, dd, ol, ul, li

- **Object Module**
  - object, param

- **Presentation Module**
  - b, big, hr, i, small, sub, sup, tt

- **Edit Module**
  - del, ins

- **Bidirectional Text Module**
  - bdo

- **Forms Module**
  - button, fieldset, form, input, label, legend, select, optgroup, option, textarea

- **Table Module**
  - caption, col, colgroup, table, tbody, td, tfoot, th, thead, tr

- **Image Module**
  - img

- **Client-side Image Map Module**
  - area, map

- **Server-side Image Map Module**
  - Attribute ismap on img

- **Intrinsic Events Module**
  - Events attributes
Metainformation Module
  meta

Scripting Module
  noscript, script

Stylesheet Module
  style element

Style Attribute Module [Deprecated]
  @style

Target Module
  @target

Link Module
  link

Base Module
  base

Metainformation Attributes Module [p.57]
  @about, @content, @datatype, @typeof, @property, @rel, @resource, @rev

XHTML+RDFa also uses the Ruby Annotation module as defined in [RUBY][p.83]:

Ruby Annotation Module
  ruby, rbc, rtc, rb, rt, rp

There are no additional definitions required by this document type. An implementation of this document type as an XML DTD is defined in [Appendix A][p.61].
9. Metainformation Attributes Module

This section is normative.

The Metainformation Attributes Module defines the Metainformation attribute collection. This collection allows elements to be annotated with metadata throughout an XHTML-family document. When this module is included in a markup language, this collection is added to the Common attribute collection as defined in [XHTMLMOD][83].

9.1. Datatypes

Some of the attributes in this section use the following datatypes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURIE</td>
<td>A Compact URI or CURIE [p.53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURIEs</td>
<td>A whitespace separated list of CURIE [p.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI or SafeCURIE</td>
<td>URI or SafeCURIE [p.53].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that a specification of these data types in XML DTD and XML Schema is available in Appendix B [p.79].

9.2. Metainformation Attributes Collection

The following attributes are included in the attribute collection, and take values in the associated datatype:
### Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>about</td>
<td>[p.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>content</td>
<td>[CDATA]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datatype</td>
<td>If not specified, then the default value is string as defined in [XMLSCHEMA][p.84].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typeof</td>
<td>[CURIEs][p.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>property</td>
<td>[CURIEs][p.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rel</td>
<td>(reserved word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resource</td>
<td>[URIorSafeCURIE][p.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rev</td>
<td>(reserved word</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.3. @rel/@rev attribute values

The list of reserved values for @rel and @rev are:

- **alternate**
  - Designates alternate versions for a resource.

- **appendix**
  - Refers to a resource serving as an appendix in a collection.

- **bookmark**
  - Refers to a bookmark. A bookmark is a link to a key entry point within an extended document. The @title attribute may be used, for example, to label the bookmark. Note that several bookmarks may be defined for a document.

- **cite**
  - Refers to a resource that defines a citation. In the following example, the cite is used to reference the book from which the quotation is taken:

   As Gandalf the White said in
   &lt;span rel="cite" resource="http://www.example.com/books/the_two_towers"
   &gt;The Two Towers
   &lt;/span&gt;
   &lt;quote xml:lang="en"&gt;"The hospitality of your hall is somewhat lessened of late, Theoden King."&lt;/quote&gt;
which would generate the following triples:

< > xhv:cite <http://www.example.com/books/the_two_towers> .

cite is also useful for referencing specifications:

cite to reference another specification

More information can be found in
<span rel="cite" resource="http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml">[XML]</cite>.

which would generate the following triples:

< > xhv:cite <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml> .

chapter
  Refers to a resource serving as a chapter in a collection.

contents
  Refers to a resource serving as a table of contents.

copyright
  Refers to a copyright statement for the resource.

first
  Refers to the first item in a collection (see also start and top).

glossary
  Refers to a resource providing a glossary of terms.

glossary
  Refers to a resource providing help (more information, links to other sources of information, etc.)

icon
  Refers to a resource that represents an icon.

index
  Refers to a resource providing an index.

last
  Refers to the last resource in a collection of resources.

license
  Refers to a resource that defines the license associated with a resource.

meta
  Refers to a resource that provides metadata, for instance in RDF.

next
  Refers to the next resource (after the current one) in an ordered collection.

p3pv1
  Refers to a P3P Policy Reference File. See [P3P][p.83].

prev
  Refers to the previous resource (before the current one) in an ordered collection.

role
  Indicates the purpose of the resource. For some possible values, see [XHTMLVOCAB][p.85].
section
   Refers to a resource serving as a section in a collection.
stylesheet
   Refers to a resource acting as a stylesheet for a resource.
subsection
   Refers to a resource serving as a subsection in a collection.
start
   Refers to the first resource in a collection of resources. A typical use case might be a collection of chapters in a book.
top
   Synonym for start.
up
   Refers to the resource "above" in a hierarchically structured set.
A. XHTML+RDFa DTD

This appendix is normative.

This appendix includes an implementation of the XHTML+RDFa 1.0 language as an XML DTD. It is implemented by combining the XHTML 1.1 DTD with the XHTML Metainformation Attribute Module. This is done by using a content model module, and then a driver module. There are direct links to the various files, and the files are also contained in the “Gzip’d TAR” and “Zip” archives linked to at the top of this document. Please note that the files targeted by the "latest version" links may change slowly over time. See the W3C XHTML2 Working Group home page for more information.

A.1. XHTML Metainformation Attributes Module

You can download this version of this file from http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/ED-rdfa-syntax-20081004/DTD/xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod. The latest version is available at http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod.

<!-- file: xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod

This is XHTML-RDFa, modules to annotate XHTML family documents. Copyright 2007-2008 W3C (MIT, ERCIM, Keio), All Rights Reserved. Revision: $Id: xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod,v 1.7 2008/08/01 19:57:13 ahby Exp $

This DTD module is identified by the PUBLIC and SYSTEM identifiers:

PUBLIC "-//W3C//ENTITIES XHTML MetaAttributes 1.0//EN"
SYSTEM "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod"

Revisions:

<ENTITY % XHTML.global.attrs.prefixed "IGNORE" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % CURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % CURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % SafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % SafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<!-- Common Attributes

This module declares a collection of meta-information related attributes.

%NS.decl.attrib; is declared in the XHTML Qname module. -->

<!-- Placeholder Compact URI-related types -->

<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>

<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
</ENTITY>
This file also includes declarations of "global" versions of the attributes. The global versions of the attributes are for use on elements in other namespaces.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no"?>
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.1//EN" "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml11/DTD/xhtml11.dtd">

<!ENTITY % about.attrib
  "about  %URIorSafeCURIE.datatype;             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.about.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:about  %URIorSafeCURIE.datatype;       #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % typeof.attrib
  "typeof        %CURIEs.datatype;             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.typeof.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:typeof           %CURIEs.datatype;        #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % property.attrib
  "property        %CURIEs.datatype;             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.property.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:property           %CURIEs.datatype;        #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % resource.attrib
  "resource        %URIorSafeCURIE.datatype;             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.resource.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:resource           %URIorSafeCURIE.datatype;        #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % content.attrib
  "content        CDATA             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.content.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:content           CDATA        #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % datatype.attrib
  "datatype        %CURIE.datatype;             #IMPLIED"
>
<!ENTITY % XHTML.global.datatype.attrib
  "%XHTML.prefix;:datatype           %CURIE.datatype;        #IMPLIED"
>
A.2. XHTML+RDFa Content Model Module

You can download this version of this file from http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/ED-rdfa-syntax-20081004/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-model-1.mod. The latest version is available at http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-model-1.mod.

This is XHTML+RDFa.
Copyright 1998-2008 W3C (MIT, ERCIM, Keio), All Rights Reserved.
Revision: $Id: xhtml-rdfa-model-1.mod,v 1.2 2008/01/03 18:45:34 ahby Exp $ SMI

This DTD module is identified by the PUBLIC and SYSTEM identifiers:

PUBLIC "-//W3C//ENTITIES XHTML+RDFa Document Model 1.0//EN"
SYSTEM "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-model-1.mod"

Revisions:
(None)

This module describes the groupings of elements that make up common content models for XHTML elements.

XHTML has three basic content models:

%Inline.mix; character-level elements
%Block.mix; block-like elements, e.g., paragraphs and lists
%Flow.mix; any block or inline elements

Any parameter entities declared in this module may be used to create element content models, but the above three are considered ‘global’ (insofar as that term applies here).

The reserved word ‘#PCDATA’ (indicating a text string) is now included explicitly with each element declaration that is declared as mixed content, as XML requires that this token occur first in a content model specification.

-->

While in some cases this module may need to be rewritten to accommodate changes to the document model, minor extensions may be accomplished by redeclaring any of the three *.extra;
parameter entities to contain extension element types as follows:

\%Misc.extra; whose parent may be any block or inline element.
\%Inline.extra; whose parent may be any inline element.
\%Block.extra; whose parent may be any block element.

If used, these parameter entities must be an OR-separated list beginning with an OR separator ("|"), eg., "| a | b | c"

All block and inline *.* class parameter entities not part of the *struct.* class classes begin with "| " to allow for exclusion from mixes.

-->

<!-- ............... Optional Elements in head ................. -->

<!ENTITY % HeadOpts.mix
 "( %script.qname; | %style.qname; | %meta.qname;
 | %link.qname; | %object.qname; )" >

<!-- ............... Miscellaneous Elements ................. -->

<!ENTITY % Misc.class
 "%Edit.class;
 %Script.class;
 %Misc.extra;" >

<!-- ............... Inline Elements ..................... -->

<!ENTITY % InlStruct.class "%br.qname; | %span.qname;" >

<!ENTITY % InlPhras.class
 "| %em.qname; | %strong.qname; | %dfn.qname; | %code.qname;
 | %samp.qname; | %kbd.qname; | %var.qname; | %cite.qname;
 | %abbr.qname; | %acronym.qname; | %q.qname;" >

<!ENTITY % InlPres.class
 "| %tt.qname; | %i.qname; | %b.qname; | %big.qname;" >
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-->
<!ENTITY % InlNoAnchor.class
 "%InlStruct.class;
 %InlPhras.class;
 %InlPres.class;
 %I18n.class;
 %InlSpecial.class;
 %InlForm.class;
 %Ruby.class;
 %Inline.extra;"
>

<!-- %InlNoAnchor.mix; includes all non-anchor inlines
-->
<!ENTITY % InlNoAnchor.mix
 "%InlNoAnchor.class;
 %Misc.class;"
>

<!-- %Inline.mix; includes all inline elements, including %Misc.class;
-->
<!ENTITY % Inline.mix
 "%Inline.class;
 %Misc.class;"
>

<!-- .....................  Block Elements  ...................... -->

<!-- In the HTML 4.0 DTD, heading and list elements were included
in the %block; parameter entity. The %Heading.class; and
%List.class; parameter entities must now be included explicitly
on element declarations where desired.
-->
<!ENTITY % Heading.class
 "%h1.qname; | %h2.qname; | %h3.qname;
  | %h4.qname; | %h5.qname; | %h6.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % List.class "%ul.qname; | %ol.qname; | %dl.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % Table.class "%table.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % Form.class "%form.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % Fieldset.class "%fieldset.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % BlkStruct.class "%p.qname; | %div.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % BlkPhras.class
 "| %pre.qname; | %blockquote.qname; | %address.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % BlkPres.class "%hr.qname;"
>
<!ENTITY % BlkSpecial.class
 "%Table.class;
 %Form.class;
 %Fieldset.class;"
A.3. XHTML+RDFa Driver Module

You can download this version of this file from http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/ED-rdfa-syntax-20081004/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-1.dtd. The latest version is available at [http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-1.dtd](http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-1.dtd).

<!-- end of xhtml-rdfa-model-1.mod -->
Revisions:
  (none)
-->

<!-- reserved for future use with document profiles -->
<!ENTITY % XHTML.profile "" >

<!-- ensure XHTML Notations are disabled -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-notations.module "IGNORE" >

<!-- Bidirectional Text features
    This feature-test entity is used to declare elements
    and attributes used for bidirectional text support.
-->
<!ENTITY % XHTML.bidi "INCLUDE" >

<!-- ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: -->
<!-- Pre-Framework Redeclaration placeholder ..................... -->
<!-- this serves as a location to insert markup declarations into the DTD prior to the framework declarations. -->
<!-- 
-->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-prefw-redecl.module "IGNORE" >
<!ENTITY % xhtml-prefw-redecl.mod "" >
<![%xhtml-prefw-redecl.module;]
  %xhtml-prefw-redecl.mod;
<![end of xhtml-prefw-redecl.module -->]]>

<!-- we need the datatypes now -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-datatypes.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-datatypes.module;]
  <!ENTITY % xhtml-datatypes.mod
      PUBLIC "-//W3C//ENTITIES XHTML Datatypes 1.0//EN"
      "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-datatypes-1.mod" >
  %xhtml-datatypes.mod;>]

<!-- bring in the RDFa attributes cause we need them in Common -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-metaAttributes.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-metaAttributes.module;]
  <!ENTITY % xhtml-metaAttributes.mod
      PUBLIC "-//W3C//ENTITIES XHTML MetaAttributes 1.0//EN"
      "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-metaAttributes-1.mod" >
  %xhtml-metaAttributes.mod;>]

<!ENTITY % xhtml-events.module "INCLUDE" >

<!ENTITY % Common.extra.attrib
  "href         %URI.datatype;           #IMPLIED
    %Metainformation.attrib;" >

<!-- Inline Style Module ........................................ -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-inlstyle.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-inlstyle.module;]
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<!ENTITY % xhtml-list.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-list.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-list.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML Lists 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-list-1.mod" >
%xhtml-list.mod;]]>

<!-- ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: -->
<!-- Edit Module ................................................ -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-edit.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-edit.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-edit.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML Editing Elements 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-edit-1.mod" >
%xhtml-edit.mod;]]>

<!-- BIDI Override Module ....................................... -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-bdo.module "%XHTML.bidi;" >
<![%xhtml-bdo.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-bdo.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML BIDI Override Element 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-bdo-1.mod" >
%xhtml-bdo.mod;]]>

<!-- Ruby Module ................................................ -->
<!ENTITY % Ruby.common.attlists "INCLUDE" >
<!ENTITY % Ruby.common.attrib "%Common.attrib;" >
<!ENTITY % xhtml-ruby.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-ruby.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-ruby.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML Ruby 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/TR/ruby/xhtml-ruby-1.mod" >
%xhtml-ruby.mod;]]>

<!-- Presentation Module ........................................ -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-pres.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-pres.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-pres.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML Presentation 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-pres-1.mod" >
%xhtml-pres.mod;]]>

<!-- Link Element Module ........................................ -->
<!ENTITY % link.attlist "IGNORE" >
<!-- Link Element Module ........................................ -->
<!ENTITY % xhtml-link.module "INCLUDE" >
<![%xhtml-link.module;[
<!ENTITY % xhtml-link.mod
PUBLIC "--/W3C/ELEMENTS XHTML Link Element 1.0//EN"
"http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-link-1.mod" >
%xhtml-link.mod;]]>

<!ATTLIST %link.qname;
%Common.attrib;
charset %Charset.datatype; #IMPLIED
hreflang %LanguageCode.datatype; #IMPLIED
type %ContentType.datatype; #IMPLIED
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A.4. SGML Open Catalog Entry for XHTML+RDFa

This section contains the SGML Open Catalog-format definition [CATALOG][p.84] of the public identifiers for XHTML+RDFa 1.0.

You can download this version of this file from http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/ED-rdfa-syntax-20081004/DTD/xhtml-rdfa.cat. The latest version is available at [http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa.cat](http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa.cat)

```xml
<!-- File catalog  ............................................................ --
-- SGML declaration associated with XHTML  .............................. --
OVERWRITE YES
SGMLDECL "xml1.dcl"
-- :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: --
-- XHTML+RDFa modules          .............................................. --
```
B. CURIE Datatypes

This section is informative.

In order to facilitate the use of CURIEs in markup languages, this specification defines some additional datatypes in the XHTML datatype space ([http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/](http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/)). Markup languages that use XHTML Modularization can find these normative definitions in the Modularization support file "datatypes" for their schema grammar:

- [DTD xhtml-datatypes.mod](#)
- [XML Schema xhtml-datatypes.xsd](#)

Specifically, the following datatypes are introduced:

- **CURIE**: A single curie [p. 53]
- **CURIEs**: A whitespace separated list of CURIEs
- **SafeCURIE**: A single safe_curie [p. 53]
- **SafeCURIEs**: A whitespace separated list of SafeCURIEs
- **URIorSafeCURIE**: A URI or a SafeCURIE (since you need a SafeCURIE to disambiguate between a common URI and a CURIE)
- **URIorSafeCURIEs**: A whitespace separated list of URIorSafeCURIEs

B.1. XML Schema Definition

The following informative XML Schema definition for these datatypes is included as an example:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
   xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
   xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
   xmlns:xh11d="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
   targetNamespace="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
   elementFormDefault="qualified"
>
   <xs:simpleType name="CURIE">
      <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
         <xs:pattern value="[\i-:][\c-:]*:" />
      </xs:restriction>
   </xs:simpleType>

   <xs:simpleType name="CURIEs">
      <xs:list itemType="xh11d:CURIE" />
   </xs:simpleType>

   <xs:simpleType name="SafeCURIE">
      <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
         <xs:pattern value="[\i-:][\c-:]*:" />
      </xs:restriction>
   </xs:simpleType>

   <xs:simpleType name="SafeCURIEs">
      <xs:list itemType="xh11d:SafeCURIE" />
   </xs:simpleType>

   <xs:simpleType name="URIorSafeCURIE">
      <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
         <xs:pattern value="[\i-:][\c-:]*:" />
      </xs:restriction>
   </xs:simpleType>

   <xs:simpleType name="URIorSafeCURIEs">
      <xs:list itemType="xh11d:URIorSafeCURIE" />
   </xs:simpleType>
</xs:schema>
```
The following *informative* XML DTD definition for these datatypes is included as an example:

```xml
<!ENTITY % CURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % CURIES.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % SafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % SafeCURIES.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIES.datatype "CDATA" >
```
C. Deployment Advice

*This section is informative.*

Documents written using the markup language defined in this specification can be validated using the DTD defined in [Appendix A][p.61]. If a document author wants to facilitate such validation, they may include the following declaration at the top of their document:

```xml
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML+RDFa 1.0//EN" "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-1.dtd">
```

The XML Namespace document associated with the XHTML Family of markup languages uses the mechanism for transforming XHTML+RDFa documents into RDF as defined by [GRDDL][p.84]. Authors who want to be certain their documents are transformable by all [GRDDL][p.84] processors may also include a `profile` attribute on the `head` element that includes a reference to the XHTML vocabulary URI `http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab`. 
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