

## Agenda:

- Review of Lisbon meeting
- Planning for Timisoara and Krems

## Discussion

The guiding question is: Did we do the right thing with the barcamp idea?

For the future we require have a skeleton what to capture. It is harder for scribes to identify what is relevant and what not. It is a good idea to have eg. three questions in advance which also help to guide the facilitators.

For the future it would also be interesting to know in advance what the PS in PSI really requires. We should also work on a structure to help the countries requiring to implement PSI.

The barcamp style was the right format, however facilitators sometimes didn't stick enough to the topics and let the topics slip. However there were too many sessions in parallel. It would also be helpful to have barcamp topics set more in advance. The barcamp sessions were also too long. It might be better to have two or three topics covered in 1 ½ hour. We might as well think about having lightning talks not taking longer than 5 minutes and "regular" sessions.

We should be more careful when choosing the topics and keep more eyes on the directive, so that proposed topics do not deviate too much from it.

One challenge we still have to address is that we didn't have enough external proposals.

Some partners expressed the wish that more SharePSI partners sit around a table discussing topics as this is a very focused atmosphere. The downside is that we are not as open to the needs of the public sector.

We could have both papers prepared for a session and within the session

Nancy reports the situation on PSI in Greece. Within three months all the datasets have to be listed with some Metadata. In another three months they are required to release that data. We are in the process to gather the information of what data to publish, what formats to propose. Most bodies have still only a very limited idea about open data.

Idea: start with the document Noel provided and everybody can contribute to that. Another source is the OD handbook. <http://opendatahandbook.org>

## Actions

Send Noel's guide on Open Data which was prepared for Vlandern to the SharePSI partners. Noel should provide the information what he is going to take from SharePSI to include it in his open data guide; For others it would be helpful to know what Noel is going to take over from SharePSI to prepare his localised versions as it would also help others to understand what might be relevant.

Send ODIs analysis of 400 papers to SharePSI members once it's done (January?)

Send Austrias Open Data implementation guide to SharePSI members

Implementation model (English version): <http://kdz.eu/de/file/11433/download>

Spreadsheets to monitor potential sources of open data: <http://kdz.eu/de/open-government-vorgehensmodell>

## Decisions

The facilitators should create a survey in advance to help people to ask specific questions so they can be more focused on the topics the audience is interested in.

Phil proposes: For Timisoara, those who are writing on a PSI / open data guideline, bring up the input you need, we will work on that in Timisoara. We collect the questions those have writing a open data / PSI guide and make it part of the call for participation. By the end of December 19 have that list?

Proposal accepted. For Timisoara we have that questions. Don't limit to the topics which are set now for Timisoara or Krems. Put the questions on the Wiki.

Max: After the 19. we have to have a conference call.

## Facts

Dates for Timisoara:

Sunday 15. March Afternoon

Monday: Preparatory meeting

Monday afternoon – Tuesday afternoon: SharePSI public meeting

Wednesday Project meeting, end after lunch.