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• Says who?
• Reports from the front: eGovernment semantic harmonization projects
  • EU Auto Repair Information
  • Common Alerting Protocol
  • US NIEM
  • XBRL Dutch Taxonomy Project
  • UN/CEFACT Core Components
• What we can learn? What’s needed?
My biases: I’m a standards geek

- OASIS is a member-led, international non-profit standards consortium concentrating on global information exchange
- Over 650 members
- Over 50 technical committees producing royalty-free and RAND standards

"The largest standards group for electronic commerce on the Web"

The New York Times
OASIS interoperates with the world

- Cooperation, liaison and harmonization with other standards organizations is a first-class OASIS priority
  - Working to reduce duplication, promote interoperability
  - Gaining sanction/authority & adoption for standards

- Formal working relationships with:
  - ISO, IEC, ITU, UN-ECE MoU for E-Business
  - ISO/IEC JTC1 SC34, ISO TC154 (category A liaisons)
  - ITU-T A.4 and A.5 recognition
  - LISA, SWIFT, UPU
  - CommerceNet, GGF, GS1/RosettaNet, IDEAlliance, OAGi, OGC, OMA, OMG/BPMI, W3C, WfMC
  - ABA, ACORD, AIAG, HL7, HR-XML, ISM, MBAA, NASPO, PISCES, LRC
  - Asia PKI, CEN/ISSS CNIS, EA-ECA, ECIF, European ICTSB, EC SEEM KIEC, PSLX, Standards-AU
What is an **Open** Standard?

*Increasingly, it matters to government regulators and implementers whether standards are developed under an open, fair, vendor-neutral process.*


- National criteria, such as: [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a119/a119.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a119/a119.html).

*Commercial users care about the same issues*
The committees are the place to see plans and compromises evolve, and influence them.

If you want to see what the bears are doing, sometimes you need to go to the woods.

Reports from the front
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Reports from the front

This talk represents personal views only: OASIS' technical program and choices are set by its members.
EU Auto Repair information

- 2002-03 project to define data exchange formats for OEM data about vehicle parts: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/autorepair/

- Stakeholders include:
  - Automobile manufacturers
  - Independent repair shops
  - Information providers
  - EU DG Enterprise

- Why standard? Offered as a converging, centripetal option to external regulation
EU Auto Repair information: results

- Technical agreement and success using RDF
  ... [Link](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/2412/Draft%20Committee%20Specification.pdf)

- ... also a mashup of W3C SWAP, OASIS UBL, Dublin Core, etc. etc.

- Lack of political agreement to ratify

Common Alerting Project (CAP)

- 2003-06 project of the OASIS Emergency Management TC (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/emergency)

- Drivers: Epidemics, tsunamis, hurricanes, and a very heterogenous “network”

- Stakeholders:
  - First responders to emergencies
  - Service providers (e.g. comm) to same
  - Governments & intergovernmental agencies
  - Owners of relevant data

- Why standard? Solution to tragic chaos
Common Alerting Project: Results

- Very, very light, XML-based data structure
  
  - `<alert>` (msg metadata)  
  - `<resource>` (attachments)  
  - `<info>` (event descrip.)  
  - `<area>` (GML)

- But is it Semantics?

- OASIS Standard in 2004, implemented by US NOAA and USGS

US NIEM (nee GJXDM)

- 2002-05 Global Justice XML Data Modal project sponsored by US DOJ OJP
  http://it.ojp.gov/jxdm/faq.html#author/
- 2005-08(?) National Information Exchange Model, successor joint project of DOJ and DHS  http://www.niem.gov/
- Stakeholders include:
  - State & federal courts
  - Law enforcement, intelligence & security
  - Information providers & vendors
- Why standard? Originally, interjurisdictional
US NIEM (nee GJXDM): results?

- **GJXDM?** Essentially, XML Schemas  
  See also:  
  http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/legalexml-courtfiling/

- **NIEM?** A bit more work on shared vocabularies and taxonomies … but still centered on an XML data dictionary and NDR artifacts

- But awfully slow

- Some reports of the “common” pool of data elements being re-subsumed into the criminal justice “silos”
XBRL-OASIS-NL Taxonomy Project

- OASIS TaxXML TC & its gov’t tax members have been chatting about this since 2002: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tax/  
- 2007- Dutch gov’t project to collect and negotiate a taxonomy across ministries: http://www.xbrl-ntp.nl/english  
- Stakeholders include:  
  - Tax administrations  
  - Other gov’t report receivers (finance, securities)  
  - Software provider ecology (huge)  
  - Reporting companies and citizens  
- Why standard? Gov’t re-use, filer ease
XBRL-OASIS-NL Taxonomy: results


- v2.0 is a mashup of OASIS (/UPU) CIQ, OECD financial work, OASIS UBL, XBRL, etc. etc. (v3.0 coming in late 2007)

- But! Global taxonomy too big a mouthful

- NL’s house National Taxonomy Project will be expressed in XBRL; footed to statutory definitions (and some accounting rules)
UN/CEFACT Core Components

- 1999-2001: original ebXML project: what was cool before “web services” was invented [http://www.ebxml.org](http://www.ebxml.org)

- 2001: Core Components migrated to CEFACT; submissions of candidate data components begin

- Stakeholders include:
  - e-Business
  - e-Government
  - Software vendors, emerging XML geek ecology

- Why standard? One Ring To Rule Them All
Core Components: results

- 2005: Core Components methodology spec approved by CEFACT (& ISO as TS 15000-5)
- 2005-09(?): Iterative sets: http://www.unece.org/cefact/codesfortrade/codes_index.htm#ccl
- Harmonization reconciles submissions against model and library. Significant labor and judgment issues: speed and neutrality?
- Early implementations conform but jump ahead: OASIS UBL: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ubl
  Danish EU e-Invoice Law: http://www.oio.dk/dataudveksling/ehandel/eFaktura/OIOXMLeInvoice
  SWIFT/ISO 20022 (UNIFI): http://www.iso20022.org
What can we learn?
How can we help?
Semantic Harmonization

- Where & when is semantic tech best deployed?
  - Text > XML > models progressions: at what stage of readiness can KR methods effectively be applied?
  - Catholicity of models: RDF, OMG’s UML, CEFACT’s UMM, others
  - Stakeholder issues: where are the boundaries? Who’s willing to harmonize? What’s intransigent?

  (E.g., in government, statutory definitions versus globally harmonized definitions)
Semantic Harmonization

What should standards bodies do?

- See prelim OASIS 2007 e-Gov focus report
  (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/24435/eGovMSGroup-Discussion20070418a.pdf)

- No more “eGov” committees please. Better: Focused, project-oriented vs. long-running panels. E.g., W3C WAI (http://www.w3.org/Mobile/)

- Gov’t stakeholders belong in substantive projects, not generic “eGov” pigeonholes

- Cooperation *across* standards groups is essential
Semantic Harmonization

Practical successes, but mostly compromise mashups, slow, and “variable” governance

So: what roles can standards bodies take?

- Sometimes one-use taxonomy committees work -- but not all will happen in SDOs
- Can we help define semantic harmonization governance best practices?
  - Standards *use* versus creation. E.g., W3C WAI, for accessibility (http://www.w3.org/WAI/)
  - Model-level guidance. E.g., OASIS SOA Reference Model, for SOA (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/soa-rm)
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