

Towards a User-Friendly DRM

W3C Workshop on Digital Rights Management
22 & 23 January 2001 - Sophia Antipolis

pierre.vannel@gemplus.com



GEMPLUS

Affirmations

- **The Internet user: both a consumer and an author/publisher.**
 - ◆ **Example: a student writing a paper might quote copyrighted works and publishing them on his personal Web pages.**

- **Digital rights: economic + moral rights**
 - ◆ **Economic rights: transferable rights**
 - ◆ **Moral rights: irrevocable authors' rights**

Requirements (1/2)

- **DRM cannot offer less than current systems**
 - ◆ **anonymous access to content**
 - ◆ **free disposal of protected content (e.g. sale, gift, loan)**
 - ◆ **portability: the use of a digital content should not be attached to a single device (e.g. to listen a music on hi-fi system, car radio or walkman of a given individual)**
 - ◆ **off-line use of a protected content**

Requirements (2/2)

■ More:

- ◆ **interoperability between DRM systems:**
 - ✓ so that the user does not have to juggle with DRM systems
 - ✓ to provide a lingua franca for the digital rights associated with a resource
 - ✓ to allow the aggregation of resources protected by different DRM systems
- ◆ **superdistribution**
- ◆ **protection of personal contents (e.g. “I forbid the commercial use of the pictures on my personal pages”)**

Features of an Ideal DRM System

- **Interoperable: a common platform to run different protection systems (IPMP approach)**
- **Upgradeable: secure dynamic downloading of new protection systems into the platform**
- **Support for the exchange of rights: secure exchange of digital rights with another trusted platform, including superdistribution**
- **Privacy protection: anonymous access to information**
- **Fair use (aggregation) control: enforcement of author rights**
- **Non-Specific Network architecture: Web, peer-to-peer...**

Security Issues (1/2)

■ Truisms:

- ◆ the Internet is not secure
- ◆ neither end-users nor providers are trustworthy

■ Deployment of a new IPMP: how should we allow installation?

- ◆ From the end-user point of view: we need an IPMP certified by a Trusted Third Party (TTP)
- ◆ From the IPMP provider: the core DRM system should not be tampered with

■ Secure communication channels (authentication, integrity, confidentiality) required to exchange sensitive data (rights, IPMP...) with an end-user

- ◆ secure repository for key storage

Security Issues (2/2)

- **Use of copyrighted resources should be controlled: how can we prevent rights infringements by the end-user?**
 - ◆ **secure repository for the license data controlling the use of the corresponding content/service**
- **Fair use needs to be guaranteed while ensuring at least partial anonymity for end-users**
 - ◆ **Certain certified information about the end-user's profile is needed (e.g. he is a student)**
 - ◆ **Need for a secure repository to store the fair use policy of the provider and for a secure engine to check this policy.**

Framework Approach

- **Open and portable framework, based on existing standards (in cryptography, communications ...etc.)**
 - ◆ To package a protected content
 - ◆ To run/render a protected content
- **Main Features**
 - ◆ **Support of various pluggable protection solutions (IPMP modules)**
 - ✓ pure software-based
 - ✓ hardware-based (e.g. smart card)
 - ◆ **Support of both free content and protected content**

Smart Card-Based Solution

- **Splitting of an IPMP module in two parts: off-card and on-card**
 - ◆ on-card part handles securely the sensitive rights holder data
 - ◆ delegated control: the smart card acts as a rights holder representative
- **Smart card used to control the IPMP installation**
- **Smart card used to protect the cardholder's personal information**
- **Smart card features**
 - ◆ multi-application smart card (i.e. JavaCard, Windows for Smart Card [WfSC], MultOS)
 - ◆ on-board cryptographic algorithms

Pros / Cons (1/2)

■ Pros

- ◆ **Protection & control of the rights: secure storage and processing of provider data**
- ◆ **Portability of rights: the use of a protected content is not tied to a single device**
- ◆ **Privacy protection: strong authentication which is not linked to the user's identity**
- ◆ **End-to-end security:**
 - ✓ secure exchange of rights with another trusted device
 - ✓ secure downloading of an IPMP system
- ◆ **Multi IPMP support**
- ◆ **Upgradeability: downloading of a new IPMP, updating an existing IPMP**

Pros / Cons (2/2)

■ Cons

- ◆ Infrastructure: card reader must be incorporated in a trusted device
- ◆ Smart cards must be deployed

Areas for Standardization

- **Specify an interoperable platform**
 - ◆ Digital rights description language
 - ◆ Secure protocol to exchange rights
 - ◆ Digital rights reporting/tracking to Authors Associations
 - ✓ distribution follow-up
 - ✓ composition follow-up
 - ◆ DRM framework
 - ◆ Secure protocol to install new DRM modules
- **Enforce the end-user's privacy**
 - ◆ to define a portable privacy wallet